

Tax Credits Renewals

Findings from the 2009 Panel Study of Tax Credits and Child Benefit Customers

Authors: Mari Toomse, Natalie Maplethorpe, National Centre for Social Research

HM Revenue and Customs Research Report Number 148



Disclaimer

The views in this report are the authors' own and do not necessarily reflect those of HM Revenue & Customs.

© Crown Copyright 2011

Copyright in the typographical arrangement and design rests with the Crown. This publication may be reported free of charge in any format or medium provided that it is reproduced accurately and not used in a misleading context. The material must be acknowledged as Crown copyright with the title and source of the publication specified.

Published by HM Revenue and Customs, August 2011 www.hmrc.gov.uk

Contents

Co	ontents	3
E	xecutive summary	4
1	Introduction	7
2	Research findings	9
	2.1 Overview of recipients' experience of and knowledge about the 2008 renewals process2.2 Differences in recipients' journey through the 2008 renewals process	
	2.3 Recipients' views about changes to the renewals process	
3	Conclusions	24
4	References	26
A	ppendix A Research strategy	27
	Analysis methods Measuring financial capability	
A	ppendix B Tables	29
A	ppendix C Tables	30
Lo	ogistic regression models	46

Executive summary

This report presents the findings of the 2009 Panel Study of Tax Credits and Child Benefit Customers – a major research study of the experiences of tax credits recipients. The study was carried out by the National Centre for Social Research and commissioned by HM Revenue & Customs (HMRC). This report focuses on people's experiences with the renewals process of tax credits.

Research findings

Overview of recipients experience and knowledge about 2008 renewals process

The research provides an overview of recipients' overall experience of the renewals process. The majority of people reported that the process was either quite easy or very easy (83 per cent) and they felt confident about what to do during renewals (90 per cent). Most (93 per cent) recipients said that they did not experience any problems with the renewals documents. Problems reported typically related to the complexity of the annual review form and renewal instructions.

Just over half of recipients used the guidance notes HMRC sent with the annual renewal pack. Thirty-nine per cent of recipients used and found the guidance notes easy to understand although some groups reported difficulties. Most recipients (80 per cent) did not seek any help or advice about the renewal process. Those that sought help or advice were most likely to use the tax credits helpline (75 per cent), friends or relatives (12 per cent).

Timeliness of renewing was measured by looking at the length of time recipients said it took them to renew their award and whether that was before or after the renewals deadline. The majority of tax credits recipients that renewed their award reported doing so within two weeks of receiving their renewal pack (63 per cent). Most tax credits recipients renewed before the deadline.

Knowledge of the renewals process was measured by awareness of the renewal deadline and awareness of the consequences of late renewals. Most recipients (81 per cent) were aware of the renewals deadline but fewer were aware of the consequences of late renewing. Sixteen per cent were aware that late renewing could lead to overpayment.

Differences in recipient journey through 2008 renewals process

Recipients' experience of and behaviour during renewals, and their knowledge of the process were not uniform across all groups of recipients. They varied according to how long the recipient had been claiming tax credits, general abilities such as whether the recipient usually needed help in understanding official forms, the recipient's financial capabilities, and personal and family characteristics, for example, whether or not the recipient or his/her partner was self-employed, had a limiting long-standing illness or whether the recipient was from a Black, Asian or Ethnic Minority Group.

The length of time a recipient had been receiving tax credits was related to various aspects of their tax credits journey including:

- Recipients who had been claiming for more than five years were more likely to say the renewals process was easy, were more likely to feel confident about what to do during renewals and more likely to be aware of the renewals deadline.
- However, longer-term recipients were more likely to take longer to renew than newer recipients.
- Longer-term recipients were just as likely to use guidance notes as those that had been claiming for one year or less.

Recipients who tended to agree with the statement 'I often need help understanding official forms' were more likely to report that:

• They found it difficult to renew their tax credits, they did not feel confident about what to do at renewals, they had problems with the renewals documents and found the guidance notes difficult to understand.

In the study, different aspects of financial capability were measured by asking recipients if they agreed or disagreed with four statements about their how they dealt with their finances. An overarching financial capability score was calculated for each recipient using their responses to these statements. Recipients were then classified into one of three groups (those with a high, medium or low financial capability score). Recipients with low financial capability scores were less likely to say that they:

- Had found the renewals process easy, were aware of the deadline; and had renewed within one week of getting their renewals pack.
- Felt less confident about what to do at renewals, had problems with the renewal documents and had missed the renewal deadline.

There is evidence in the findings that the self-employed or recipients who had a selfemployed partner tended to find renewals more challenging compared with other recipients. These recipients were:

• Less likely to have said the renewals process was easy, tended to take longer to renew their award and were more likely to have sought help with the renewals process.

Recipients who had a limiting longstanding illness/disability or whose partner had a limiting longstanding illness were less likely to say that they felt confident about what to do during renewals, more likely than other families to report that they found the guidance note difficult to understand and more likely than other recipients to say that they sought help with renewals.

Recipients from Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic Groups generally had similar experiences of the 2008 renewals process as other recipients except for two aspects:

• They were more likely to use the guidance notes than other recipients and they were not as knowledgeable about what would happen if recipients renewed their award after the deadline.

The Panel Study included questions to gauge the proficiency in English of all recipients irrespective of whether English is their first or second language. Recipients who said that they could not read English newspapers/magazines or who could not write a letter/email in English were more likely than other recipients to say that they did not feel confident about what to do at renewals.

Recipients views about changes to the renewals process

Recipients were asked if they thought that any changes were required to the renewal deadline or to the renewals process overall. Most recipients thought that the deadline was about right (85 per cent). The majority of suggested changes to the renewals process typically related to simplifying renewals overall. Recipients' opinions of the deadline were associated with how long it had taken them to renew. Those that had taken longer to renew (one month or more) were more likely to report that the deadline was too long. Tax credits recipients that waited until after the deadline to renew were more likely to say that the deadline was too short than those that renewed on time. Around a quarter of recipients thought that changes were required to the renewals process. When asked about whether changes were required to the renewals process certain groups were more critical than others. These included those that had difficulty understanding official forms, those that took one month or longer to renew, and Child Tax Credit recipients.

Reporting changes of circumstances during renewals

The report explores the reporting of changes of circumstances during renewals. Many recipients reported changes of circumstances during renewals (21 per cent of all changes were reported during this time).

Conclusions

- The main problems reported were with the complexity of forms and other paperwork. Guidance notes were used by just over half of recipients. The majority of recipients found the guidance notes easy to understand although some groups reported difficulties. Households where the main recipient or their partner had a disability or longstanding illness and recipients who needed help to understand official forms were more likely to have had trouble understanding the guidance notes.
- Tax credits recipients were aware of some aspects of the renewals process but not others. The majority were aware of the deadline but not that renewing after the deadline could lead to overpayments.
- The majority of tax credits recipients were satisfied with the length of the renewal period. However, those that took a long time to renew thought that the deadline was too long.
- Thinking that changes were required to the renewals process was more common amongst those that thought that the effort of claiming was disproportionate to the benefit that they received.

1 Introduction

Tax credits were introduced in April 2003 with the primary aims to provide adequate financial incentives to work, to reduce child poverty, and to increase financial support for all families. Latest statistics show that over six million families benefited from tax credits in 2009-10.¹

Tax credits are awarded on an annual basis and recipients have to renew their claim at the end of each tax year between April and July. At renewals, recipients provide HM Revenue and Customs (HMRC) with information to enable HMRC to ensure that payments for the previous year were correct and the award for the year that has just started is based on the correct current circumstances of recipients. As a part of the renewals process recipients receive an annual renewal pack containing a number of forms and recipients have to do the following:

- Check that the description of their current circumstances on the annual review form is correct;
- Report any incorrect information/missing information of change to their circumstances to HMRC; and
- For recipients who receive the annual declaration form in their renewal pack, give HMRC details of their income for the tax year that has just ended. Recipients can provide income information either by completing the declaration form or by calling the Tax Credits Helpline.

Renewing the claim is an important part of the tax credits process. Recipients take actions at renewals which can affect the outcome of their award. For example:

- Recipients may get an overpayment for the tax year that has just started if their circumstances have changed since the previous year, they do not renew promptly and report this change
- Those who do not provide HMRC with the correct information about their circumstances may get an error in their award.
- HMRC will stop the tax credits of those who do not renew by the deadline (31 July) and will take steps to recover the tax credits paid out between April and 31 July.

As renewals is a key part of claiming tax credits, HMRC is committed to improving:

- recipients' experience of the renewals process;
- encouraging recipients to renew their award as early as possible; and
- ensuring recipients are aware of the implications of late renewals and the failure to renew their award.

¹ Child and Working Tax Credits Statistics Finalised Annual Awards 2009-10.

http://www.hmrc.gov.uk/stats/personal-tax-credits/cwtc-final-awards-may11.pdf

This report presents research findings that can help HMRC take forward the objectives above. The findings are from the 2009 Panel Study of Tax Credits and Child Benefit Customers conducted by the National Centre for Social Research. The study was a large-scale survey launched by HMRC to explore recipients' experience of claiming tax credits. It interviewed 3,706 current and previous tax credits recipients in 2008/9 and followed up many of those over three years. As well as collecting background demographic information, the study covered people's experiences of the tax credits system from initial application, reporting changes in circumstances and renewals. The 2009 study took place between October 2008 and March 2009. For a more detailed description of the research, please refer to the technical report of the 2009 study.²

Findings from the Panel Study offer a unique insight into the renewals process. While a lot of factual data are recorded as part of the administration of tax credits, the panel study is able to go beyond that and explore information that is not recorded on the administration data, for example, the recipients' knowledge of the renewals process as well as experiences and behaviours such as seeking help from sources other than HMRC, and perceived ease of the renewals process.

The aim of this report is to explore the following six major aspects of the renewals process:

- a) Recipients' experience of tax credits renewals;
- b) the time recipients took to renew their award;
- c) knowledge of the renewals process;
- d) differences in experience, approach used and knowledge about the renewals process;
- e) views about changes to the renewals process; and
- f) reporting changes of circumstances during renewals.

All the findings in this report refer to tax credits recipients who were in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and who went through the renewals process in 2008.

The report analyses the extent to which the experiences of the renewals process differ between various groups of recipients. Only differences that are statistically significant are discussed and tables for these differences are included in the Appendix C. Appendix A includes a more detailed description of the analysis strategy.

² Please refer to the technical report of the 2009 Panel Study of Tax Credits and Child Benefit Customers for the full details (see <u>http://www.natcen.ac.uk/study/tax-credit--child-benefit-study</u>)

2 Research findings

2.1 Overview of recipients' experience of and knowledge about the 2008 renewals process

This section explores recipients' experience of and knowledge about the 2008 renewals process. In particular, it explores recipients' overall experience of the renewals process to shed light on the following questions:

- Did recipients find it easy to renew their tax credits?
- Did recipients feel confident about what to do at renewals?
- Did recipients have any problems with the renewal documents?

It also explores the approaches that recipients took. For example,

- Did recipients use guidance notes?
- Were the guidance notes easy to understand?
- Did recipients seek help or advice with renewals?
- How long did recipients take to renew their award?
- What proportion of recipients renewed late?

Finally, it assesses recipients' knowledge of renewals, in particular:

- Did recipients know that they had to renew their award by 31 July?
- Did recipients know what happens if they renew their tax credits after 31 July?

2.1.1 Recipients' overall experience of the 2008 renewals process

The findings from the 2009 Panel Study indicate that the majority of recipients were able to cope with the renewals process in 2008.

- Over eight in ten (83 per cent) said that they found the renewals process easy, nine per cent said that the process was neither easy nor difficult and only eight per cent reported that the process was difficult.
- Ninety per cent reported that they felt confident about what to do at renewals while one in ten said that they did not feel confident. However it is important to note that the 2009 Panel Study did not have any information to assess whether those who said they felt confident about what to do at renewals actually followed the process correctly.
- Over nine in ten (93 per cent) revealed that they had not experienced any problems with the documents in the renewal pack.
- Some of the recipients who experienced problems said that they had problems with more than one document. The problems mostly related to complexity of the documents. Of those who experienced problems with the renewals documents, 40 per cent and 25 per cent mentioned that they found the annual review and the annual declaration form complicated while 31 per cent mentioned that the

instructions on how to renew the award were not clear. Of all tax credits recipients three per cent and two per cent mentioned that they found the annual review and the annual declaration form complicated while two per cent felt that the instructions on how to renew the award were not clear.

Some recipients also mentioned having problems with those guidance notes. Two
per cent said that the notes were complicated and one per cent felt that the notes
were too long. The next section contains more findings on recipients' experience
of the guidance notes.

2.1.2 Overview of how recipients approached the renewals process

The renewal pack contains guidance notes to help recipients renew their award. Over half the recipients (56 per cent) revealed that they had used the notes and four in ten (44 per cent) did not use the notes. Of all claimants, thirty-nine per cent said that they were easy to understand and ten per cent said that they were neither easy nor difficult to understand. Seven per cent reported using the notes but had found them difficult to understand.



Figure 1.2: Use of guidance notes

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and went through renewals process in 2008

A fifth of recipients revealed that they had sought help or advice with the renewals process. Three-quarters of those who sought help reported using the Tax credits Helpline as a source of help or advice. Twelve per cent asked friends or relatives for guidance. Other sources of advice such as the HMRC website, HMRC Enquiry Centre and booklets or leaflets from HMRC were rarely referred to by recipients. Of those who renewed their award, 33 per cent reported that they did it within one week of receiving their renewal pack and 30 per cent renewed between one and two weeks of receipt of their renewal pack. Eighteen per cent renewed between three and four weeks and the remaining 19 per cent took one month or longer to renew their award.

The time taken for recipients to renew their tax credits claim was related to recipients' income. Fourteen per cent of recipients in families earning \pounds 5,200 to \pounds 10,399 a year revealed that it had taken them more than a month to renew. For those earning more than \pounds 41,600 a year, the proportion was more than ten percentage points higher (26 per cent).

Since renewal packs are sent out in stages during the renewals period, information on the length of time taken to renew alone does not indicate whether it happened before or after the deadline of 31 July. In their answer to a separate question, five per cent of recipients indicated that they renewed after the deadline.³

Recipients were also asked why they renewed late and Figure 1.3 shows that the late renewals were mostly related to a lack of organisational skills. A quarter of recipients said that they renewed after the deadline because they were disorganised, 21 per cent revealed that they forgot to renew and 13 per cent said that they were planning to renew soon.

A notable minority renewed after the deadline because they did not have the information they needed; 17 per cent said that they were waiting for documents that they needed, such as the P60 and 12 per cent said that they had not collected all the information needed to renew their claim. Ignorance of the deadline for renewal (five per cent), concerns about the impact of renewals on the following year's award (three per cent) or personal circumstances were relatively less prominent reasons (five per cent). None of the recipients said that they renewed late because the thought they did not have to because they were paying back an overpayment, because their award was £0 or because they had a low award.

The findings above are consistent with findings from qualitative research (Turley and Thomas, 2008) which suggested that 'the main impetus for recipients renewing their tax credits claim on time was a preference for having household finances in order and dealing with such issues 'as they come'. In addition, Turley and Thomas (2008) reported that timeliness of renewal was 'tied in with the degree of financial importance placed on tax credits receipt'. They found that tax credits recipients who described themselves as very reliant on tax credits were more likely to renew on time as they were concerned that if they renewed late they may receive an overpayment or lose their entitlement to tax credits. In contrast, Turley and Thomas' evidence suggested that recipients who were less reliant on tax credits were less likely to renew before the deadline as they did not have the same concerns about overpayments or losing their entitlement.

³ It should be noted that these results are based on tax credits recipients' own recollection of when they renewed the award, which can be different from the corresponding information recorded in the tax credits administrative system.



Figure 1.3: Reasons for late renewals

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and renewed after deadline

2.1.3 Recipients' knowledge about the deadline for renewals and the consequences for renewing after the deadline

The deadline for renewing tax credits claims is 31 July. The deadline is prominently featured on the declaration form. Additionally, HMRC runs a media campaign that includes advertisements on television and radio to make sure that people know this date and renew on time. Eighty-one per cent of tax credits recipients were aware of the deadline. The 19 per cent of recipients who did not know about the deadline were more likely to say that they renewed after 31 July (8 per cent compared with 4 per cent among other recipients).

Tax credits payments continue if the award is renewed within the 30 days after the deadline and the recipient is still entitled to an award. However, if a person wishes to renew after 30 days, they will have to either prove good cause for the late renewal and if that is not accepted, they will have to make a new application for tax credits. Payments that are made from April until renewals are based on the information from the previous year. If this has changed, the payments that are made can be wrong. Thus the later the tax credits are renewed, the longer the award is likely to be based on out-of-date information so there would be a greater risk of over- or underpayments. If tax credits are

not renewed by the deadline, the claim will be terminated and all payments made before the deadline are regarded as overpayment and will be recovered. In addition, HMRC can also impose a fine on recipients who renew late.

All the information above was included in the renewal documents HMRC sent to recipients in 2008. Additionally, HMRC also ran a national advertisement campaign in July 2008 which mentioned that payments would stop if recipients did not renew on time.

The 2009 Panel Study asked recipients, unprompted, 'what, if anything, would happen if tax credits are not renewed on time?' Figure 1.1 shows that the majority of recipients were aware that payments would stop if they renewed late (91 per cent). A smaller percentage thought that they might need to make a new claim (35 per cent) and even fewer (16 per cent) that late renewing could lead to overpayment. Only six per cent believed that they would be fined. A small minority (two per cent) believed that nothing would happen if they renewed late.



Figure 1.1: Awareness of consequences of late renewing

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and went through renewals process in 2008

A summary measure was derived to reflect the overall knowledge of the consequences of late renewal. This measure ranged from zero for those who did not mention any of the four consequences presented in Figure 1.1 to four for those who mentioned all four consequences. Only a small proportion of tax credits recipients (three per cent) were unable to mention any of the consequences. The majority (56 per cent) could name only one. Thirty-three per cent could name two consequences and six per cent mentioned three. Knowledge of all the consequences was rare (two per cent).





Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and went through renewals process in 2008

Knowledge of consequences of late renewing was not related to the timeliness of renewing. These findings, together with the findings presented earlier on the reasons recipients renewed late, the link between timeliness of renewals and income, and findings from qualitative research, suggest that those who renewed early were motivated by reasons other than a wish to avoid the consequences of late renewal.

2.2 Differences in recipients' journey through the 2008 renewals process

Recipients' experience of and behaviour during renewals, and their knowledge of the process were not uniform across all groups of recipients. They varied according to how long the recipient had been claiming tax credits, general abilities such as whether the recipient usually needed help in understanding official forms, the recipient's financial capabilities, and personal and family characteristics, for example, whether or not the recipient or his/her partner was self-employed, had a limiting long-standing illness or whether the recipient was from a Black, Asian or Ethnic Minority Group.

2.2.1 Differences in renewals journey by how long the recipient had been claiming tax credits

The length of time a recipient had been receiving tax credits was related to various aspects of his/her tax credits renewals journey.

- Recipients who had been claiming tax credits for more than five years were more likely than those who had been claiming for one year or less to say that they found the renewals process easy (85 per cent compared with 77 per cent).
- Those who had been receiving tax credits for more than five years were the most likely to say that they felt confident about what to do at renewals. Ninety-two per cent reported that felt confident compared with 87 per cent of those who had been claiming for less than one year.
- People who had been claiming for more than five years were more likely to be aware of the deadline than those claiming for one year or less (83 per cent compared with 78 per cent).

These findings suggest that recipients' experience of the renewals process seemed to improve as they progress through their tax credits journey. They also seemed to learn and became more knowledgeable as well as confident about renewals process.

The increased knowledge and confidence have not brought on a greater urgency in renewing. Longer-term recipients were more likely to put off renewing compared with newer recipients. A quarter of those who had been claiming for four years or more reported that they took over one month to renew their tax credits, this compares to about eleven per cent of those who had been claiming for one year or less. Thirty-two per cent of those who had been claiming for one years renewed within one week compared with 42 per cent of those who had been claiming for one year or less.

In addition, recipients who had been claiming tax credits for more than five years were just as likely to use the guidance notes as those claiming for one year or less (about half of both groups used the notes). Recipients who had been receiving tax credits for between two and three years were most likely to have used the guidance notes; nearly two-thirds of recipients in this group used the guidance. It is not clear whether the observation that longer-term recipients were less likely to use the guidance notes was the result of an increase in confidence and knowledge or not.

2.2.2 Differences in renewals journey by the general ability to understand official forms

Recipients have to check and complete a number of forms when they renew their tax credits. Compared with other recipients, those who tended to agree with the statement 'I often need help understanding official forms' were more likely to report that:

- They found it difficult to renew their tax credits (12 per cent compared with between five per cent who disagreed with the statement and seven per cent of recipients who had a neutral view).
- They did not feel confident about what to do at renewals (17 per cent compared with between five and ten per cent of other recipients).
- They had problems with the renewals documents than those who tended to disagree with the statement (14 per cent compared with four per cent).
- They found the guidance notes difficult to understand (18 per cent compared with eight per cent who tended to disagree with the statement).

Recipients who tended to agree with the statement 'I often need help understanding official forms' were also more likely to take actions such as seeking help with renewals (29 per cent compared with between 14 and 21 per cent for other recipients) and to call the Tax credits Helpline to get help and advice.

2.2.3 Differences in renewals journey by recipients' financial capability

The consultation document 'Financial Capability: the Government's Long-Term Approach' (HM Treasury, 2007) defines consumers' financial capability as 'the knowledge, skills and motivation to manage their finances'. In the study, different aspects of financial capability were measured by asking recipients if they agreed or disagreed with the statements below:

- 'I actively plan my finances';
- 'I try to keep myself up to date with tax issues that might affect me';
- 'I am uncomfortable dealing with tax';
- 'I am very confident in dealing with my finances'.

An overarching financial capability score was calculated for each recipient using their responses to the statements above. Recipients were then classified into one of three groups (those with high, medium or low financial capability score). Appendix A has a more detailed description of the calculation of the financial capability scores.

Recipients with low financial capability scores had distinctive features in their renewals journey. Compared with recipients with high or medium scores, those with low financial capability scores were less likely to say that they:

• had found the renewals process easy (80 per cent compared with 85 per cent for those with a high or medium score);

- were aware of the deadline than those with high scores (77 per cent compared with 85 per cent); and
- had renewed within one week of getting their renewals pack than those with high scores (27 per cent compared with 36 per cent).

In contrast, recipients with low financial capability scores were more likely to reveal that they:

- did not feel confident about what to do at renewals (13 per cent compared with six per cent among those with a high score);
- had problems with the renewal documents (ten per cent compared with six per cent for those with a high or medium financial capability score); and
- had missed the renewal deadline than recipients in the other financial capability groups (nine per cent compared with between three and four per cent for other recipients).

The last finding seems to indicate that those who said that they had issues managing their finances in general tended to say that they renewed late. This is consistent with evidence from qualitative research which found that recipients who renewed late often adopted a disorganised approach to financial matters and had a tendency to 'put things off' (Turley & Thomas, 2008).

2.2.4 The renewals journey of families with at least one self-employed adult

A concern was that recipients who were self-employed were more likely to find renewals more challenging. This is because income from self employment is often more variable and can be harder to work out. In addition, recipients need to send HMRC information on their income from the tax year that has just passed to renew their award but some self-employed recipients do not yet have that information.⁴

There is evidence in the findings that the self-employed or recipients who had a selfemployed partner tended to find renewals more challenging compared with other recipients.

- Three quarters of families (76 per cent) where the main recipient or partner was self-employed considered the renewals process easy compared with 84 per cent for all other families.
- These recipients also tended to take longer to renew their award. Only 22 per cent renewed within a week of getting their renewal pack compared with 35 per cent among all other recipients. Twenty-nine per cent of self-employed people took one month or more to renew compared with 17 per cent of those who were not self-employed or not working.

In addition, recipients who were self-employed or who had a partner who was selfemployed were more likely to say that they had sought help or advice with the renewal

⁴ Self-employed recipients who do not yet have information on their income from the year that has just passed are expected to provide an estimate of their income by 31 July and to provide the actual income by 31 January of the following year (in line with the Self Assessment deadline).

process in 2008 (25 per cent compared with 19 per cent among all other families). Fiftynine per cent of recipients who were self-employed or had a partner who was selfemployed used the Tax credits Helpline to get help and advice about renewals.

2.2.5 The renewals journey of families with at least one adult who had a limiting longstanding illness or disability

Recipients who had a limiting longstanding illness/disability or whose partner had a limiting longstanding illness were less likely to say that they felt confident about what to do during renewals. Fourteen per cent of recipients in this group said that they did not feel confident compared with nine per cent among other recipients.

Recipients living in families where they or their partner had a limiting illness or disability were more likely than other families to report that they found the guidance note difficult to understand (18 per cent compared with 11 per cent).

In addition, this group were more likely than other recipients to say that they sought help with renewals (27 per cent compared with 18 per cent).

2.2.6 The renewals journey of recipients from Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic Groups

Recipients from Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic Groups generally had similar experiences of the 2008 renewals process as other recipients except for two aspects.

- They were more likely to use the guidance notes than other recipients (68 per cent compared with 55 per cent).
- They were not as knowledgeable about what would happen if recipients renewed their award after the deadline. Compared with other recipients, they more likely to fail to mention any the four consequences of late renewals discussed in section 2.1.3 (6 per cent compared with 3 per cent).

2.2.7 Recipients who had difficulties reading or writing English

The 2009 Panel Study included questions to gauge the proficiency in English of all recipients irrespective of whether English is their first or second language. All recipients were first asked whether they could read English newspapers/magazines and then asked if they could write a letter/email in English.

Recipients who said that they could not read English newspapers/magazines or who could not write a letter/email in English were more likely than other recipients to say that they did not feel confident about what to do at renewals (20 per cent compared with 8 per cent). There were no other significant differences in the renewals journey between these recipients and those who said that they could read and write English so it is not clear what factors drive the perceived lack of confidence.

2.3 Recipients' views about changes to the renewals process

In addition to asking about recipients' experience of the renewals process, the 2009 Panel Study also asked recipients for their views on the deadline for renewals and invited them to tell us about the changes to the renewal process that they would like HMRC to make.

2.3.1 Did recipients think renewals deadline should be changed?

The deadline for renewing tax credits is 31st July which gives most recipients between three and four months to renew their award. Recipients were asked whether this deadline was too long, too short or about right.

Figure 1.4 shows that the majority of recipients (85 per cent) found the deadline to be about right, 11 per cent reported that the deadline was too long and five per cent too short.



Figure 1.4: Opinion of renewals deadline

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and went through renewals process in 2008

Whether tax credits recipients considered the renewal period too long or short was associated with the length of time they took to renew their award. Recipients who reported that they waited one month or longer to renew were most likely to feel that the renewal period was too long (16 per cent compared with between eight per cent who renew within 3-4 weeks and 11 per cent among recipients who renew within 1-2 weeks).

2.3.2 Did recipients think the renewals process needed to be changed?

Twenty-four per cent of recipients would like HMRC to make changes to the renewals process. Certain groups were more likely to want changes to the renewals process.

- Those that had difficulty understanding official forms were more likely to say that changes were required to the renewals process (26 per cent compared with 22 per cent).
- People that took one month or longer to renew were more likely to report that changes were required to the renewals process than recipients that renewed in less than one week (30 per cent compared with 18 per cent).
- The type of tax credits received was related to whether recipients wanted changes made to the renewals process. Over a quarter (26 per cent) of Child Tax Credit only recipients thought that changes were required, which is twice as much as Working Tax Credit only recipients (13 per cent). Eighteen per cent of recipients receiving both Child Tax Credit and Working Tax Credit thought that changes were required.



Figure 1.5: Changes to renewals process

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and went through renewals process in 2008

• Figure 1.5 shows that the majority of the suggestions related to simplifying the process overall and making it clearer to recipients what was required of them during the renewals process.

2.4 Reporting changes of circumstances during renewals

Tax credits recipients are required to report changes in their personal circumstances throughout the year. If personal circumstances change it is possible that the tax credits award will change. If HMRC are not informed about a change straightaway they may not be made aware of it until the award is renewed (usually April to July of the following year). This may result in an overpayment or underpayment for the recipient.

The 2009 Panel Study asked recipients whether they experienced any changes of circumstances in the last twelve months and whether these were reported at renewals. Recipients were asked if they had reported any of the following changes to HMRC:

Type of change

- Moved home
- Recipient started living with a partner
- Recipient stopped living with a partner
- Recipient or partner changed job
- Recipient or partner's working hours changed
- Recipient or partner's income changed
- Recipient or partner started working 30 or more hours per week
- Recipient or partner developed a long-standing illness or disability
- Recipient or partner left the United Kingdom for more than eight weeks
- Recipient or partner had a baby
- Childcare costs changed
- A child moved out
- A child left full-time education
- A child over 16 continued in full-time education
- A child started claiming Tax Credits themselves
- A child developed a long-standing illness or disability

All recipients are required to report these changes regardless of the type of tax credits they are receiving.⁵

The following section examines the changes of circumstances reported at renewals. In particular it addresses the following questions:

- How common was reporting changes of circumstances at renewals?
- How long did it take recipients to report changes of circumstances at renewals?

2.4.1 How common was reporting changes of circumstances at renewals?

Figure 1.6 shows that 21 per cent of all recipients had had one or more of the changes of circumstances covered on the 2009 Panel Study and said that they had reported it/them to HMRC at renewals. Another 20 per cent had had one or more the changes and mentioned that they had reported it/them at another time during the year.

11 per cent did not report the change at all and 48 per cent did not experience a change of circumstances in the twelve months before the interview.

⁵ The Panel Study did not ask about all of the changes of circumstances that recipients are required to report to HMRC.

Figure 1.6: Notified HMRC of changes



Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and went through renewals process in 2008

Those with higher incomes were more likely to leave reporting until renewals. Twenty-two per cent of those with an income of £41,600 per annum reported a change at renewals compared with 19 per cent of those with an income of £5,200 to £10,399 per annum.

Recipients were more likely to report certain types of changes of circumstances at renewals than at other times throughout the year. The changes most commonly reported at renewals were a child continued in full-time education (56 per cent) and a recipient or their partner's income changed (54 per cent).

2.4.2 How long did it take recipients to report changes of circumstances at renewals?

Recipients who reported a change at renewals were more likely to take one month or more to do so than those who reported at other times throughout the year (18 per cent compared with ten per cent).

The delays in reporting were due to a range of diverse reasons. Some recipients (23 per cent) said that they delayed reporting until renewals because it took them a while to get round to it. Others (18 per cent), reported that they delayed reporting because it would not affect the amount of tax credits award or that they did not think about reporting the change when it occurred (15 per cent) but for 38 per cent of recipients, the delays were due to a reasons that were too diverse to categorise.

3 Conclusions

This report provided an overview of tax credits recipients' renewals experience. In general, most recipients found the process easy and renewed on time. But about one in ten said that they found the system hard to negotiate and around 1 in 5 took one month or more to renew their award.

People reporting comparatively fewer problems with renewals were those who:

- had been claiming tax credits for longer,
- were better organised in financial matters, and
- were employees or not in work (as opposed to the self-employed).

The main problems that recipients reported were the complexity and length of various forms. Guidance notes that should help people in understanding the forms were used by just over half of the tax credits recipients and less so by groups that could have benefited the most, that is, those newer to the tax credits system.

Tax credits recipients were fairly knowledgeable of some aspects of the renewals process, but not of others. A vast majority of recipients knew that the renewal deadline was 31 July and that payments would stop if their claim was not renewed before this. It was only a minority, however, who knew that renewals after the deadline could also lead to an overpayment.

Timeliness of renewing is an important element in reducing the risk of error or getting an overpayment in tax credits claims. The analysis revealed that those who renewed promptly (before 31 July deadline) and those who renewed after the deadline were two distinct groups.

Those who renewed promptly were more likely to have the characteristics below than those who renewed later:

- claiming tax credits for a shorter period of time,
- lower levels of income,
- a high or medium financial capability score, or
- were employees or not in work (as opposed to self-employed).

Those who reported that they renewed after the deadline were more likely to have the following characteristics than those how renewed before 31 July:

- a low financial capability score, and
- not aware of the renewal deadline.
- had difficulty understanding official forms.

The majority of tax credits recipients were happy with the current deadline for renewals. Those who tended to postpone dealing with renewing were more likely to consider the renewal period to be too long; they may be motivated to deal with the process more quickly if the renewal period was less generous.

Thinking that renewing process required changes was more common among two groups. First those who had taken longer to renew their tax credits and secondly those on higher incomes for whom tax credits might have been less important financially.

Renewals were also a time when many tax credits recipients reported changes of circumstances: one-fifth of all changes were reported at that time. Leaving reporting changes of circumstances until renewals was more common among persons with higher income. Reporting that a child was continuing in full-time education and changes in income were more likely to be reported at renewals than other changes of circumstances.

4 References

HMRC (2011). Child and Working Tax Credits Statistics Finalised Annual Awards 2009-10. http://www.hmrc.gov.uk/stats/personal-tax-credits/cwtc-final-awards-may11.pdf

HM Treasury (2007). Financial Capability: the Government's Long-Term Approach. URL: <u>http://www.hm-treasury.gov.uk/d/fincap_150107.pdf</u> (29/04/2010).

Turley, C & Thomas, A (2008). Claimants' understanding and experiences of the tax credits renewal process. HM Revenue & Customs Research Report, 52. URL <u>http://www.hmrc.gov.uk/research/report-52-final.pdf</u> (14/05/2010).

Appendix A Research strategy

Analysis methods

Many of the characteristics that are analysed in this report are related to each other as well as to the outcome that is considered. This means that a statistically significant relationship between two variables could in fact be affected by a third variable. For example, it might be observed that younger people report more problems with the renewals process. However, if they are also more likely to have started receiving tax recently, then the relationship between age and problems may in fact be related to the length of receipt and lack of experience with the system rather than age.

These kinds of problems can be avoided if all variables that are likely to have an effect on an outcome are controlled for together. In the example above this would mean investigating whether young people who have recently started receiving tax credits are equally likely to report problems as older people who have recently started receiving tax credits. This can be done by using statistical modelling techniques. The modelling techniques that we used in the analysis were logistic regression modelling and general linear modelling. Logistic regression was used when the outcome variable has only a few possible values and general linear model was used when the outcome variable has many possible values that can be ordered. The statistical models developed in the analysis are presented in Appendix C and statistically significant relationships identified by the models are discussed in the commentary.

All results presented in the report are based on weighted data. Weighting helps to correct for different probabilities of selecting people for the study. Please see the technical report of the 2009 Panel Study for a more detailed discussion on weighting scheme used in the study.

Measuring financial capability

The consultation document 'Financial Capability: the Government's Long-Term Approach' (HM Treasury, 2007) defines consumers' financial capability as 'the knowledge, skills and motivation to manage their finances'. In the study different aspects of financial capability were measured using four agree/disagree statements. These were:

- a) 'I actively plan my finances';
- b) 'I try to keep myself up to date with tax issues that might affect me';
- c) 'I am uncomfortable dealing with tax';
- d) 'I am very confident in dealing with my finances'.

An overarching variable was derived by taking the responses to these questions and using cluster analysis to identify homogeneous sub-groups. Cluster analysis is a statistical technique that involves comparing recipients on specific values and assigning them to groups based on similarity. In this analysis a type of cluster analysis called k-means clustering was used. Solutions involving three, four and five clusters were considered. Of these, the three-cluster solution was the most meaningful and was used in the analysis. The three clusters were – high financial capability scores, low financial capability scores and mid financial capability scores.

Appendix B Tables

Guide to methods used in tables and figures cited in the text

The information presented in this report uses data from the Panel Study that has been statistically weighted to take account of the technical issues such as sample design. The majority of tables report on questions with mutually exclusive response, for example the number of actions recipients reported taking when handling a particular tax credits transaction. In these tables the percentages will generally sum to 100, however there may be some instances were percentages will not sum to exactly 100 per cent because of rounding.

Where the question allowed multiple responses, (i.e. the tax credits recipient could chose a number of responses rather than just one) the percentages will not sum to 100.

The tables use the following notations:

Base The weighted count is the base presented in all tables.

- 0 Percentage value is greater than 0, but less than 0.5, which is rounded down.
- * Figures are based on less than 25 cases and are not robust, therefore results not presented in this report.
- A per cent figure is expected indicates that there were no responses in the category.

Statistical significance was tested using logistic regression for complex samples. Unless stated otherwise, all the differences cited in the text or presented in the charts are statistically significant at the 0.05 level.

Appendix C Tables

Awareness of consequences of late renewals

	%		Weighted
			base
Payments will stop		91	2326
Will have to make a new claim		35	888
May receive an overpayment		16	416
Payments will be reduced		8	193
Will be fined		6	161
None of these		2	57

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08

Note that percentages do not sum to 100 as recipients could give more than one answer to this question

Type of help with renewals sought

	%	Weighted base
Tax Credits helpline	75%	449
Friends or relatives	12%	70
Independent advisor	6%	38
HMRC Enquiry Centre/Local Tax Office	4%	26

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and sought help with renewals process Note that percentages do not sum to 100 as recipients could give more than one answer to this question

Type of problems with renewals documents experienced by recipients

	%	Weighted
		base
Instructions on how to renew unclear	31%	69
Annual review form too complicated	40%	90
Annual declaration form too complicated	25%	55
Finding time to read and complete	14%	31
The guidance notes were too long	16%	36
The guidance notes were too	25%	57
complicated		
Have not kept the information needed to	5%	11
renew		
Too complicated (form not specified)	2%	4
Too long (form not specified)	1%	2
Language problems	5%	11
Other problem	30%	68

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and experienced problems with renewals documents Note that percentages do not sum to 100 as recipients could give more than one answer to this question

	%	Weighted base
Instructions on how to renew unclear	2%	69
Annual review form too complicated	3%	90
Annual declaration form too complicated	2%	55
Finding time to read and complete	1%	31
The guidance notes were too long	1%	36
The guidance notes were too complicated	2%	57
Have not kept the information needed to renew	<1%	11
Too complicated (form not specified)	<1%	4
Too long (form not specified)	<1%	2
Language problems	<1%	11
Other problem	2%	68

Type of problems with renewals documents experienced by recipients

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08

Note that percentages do not sum to 100 as recipients could give more than one answer to this question

Timeliness of renewing by household income

	<u> </u>						
	Up to		£5,200-	£10,400-	£26,000-	£41,600	All
	£5,199		£10,399	£25,999	£41,599	or more	
		%	%	%	%	%	%
Less than 1 week		*	41	36	27	32	33
1-2 weeks		*	33	28	32	22	30
3-4 weeks		*	12	21	18	20	18
1 month or more		*	14	16	23	26	19
Total		*	100	100	100	100	100
Weighted base		*	330	1112	618	333	2670

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and received declaration form

Renewal after deadline by awareness of deadline

	Aware of	Unaware of	All
	deadline	deadline	
	%	%	%
Renewed on time	96	4	95
Renewed late	92	8	5
Total	100	100	100
Weighted base	2210	492	2709

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and received declaration form

Reasons for renewing after deadline

	%	Weighted
		base
Disorganised	25%	33
Forgot to renew	21%	28
Waiting for documents (e.g. P60	17%	22
Planning to do it soon	13%	17
Collecting information	12%	16
Did not know about the deadline	5%	6
Personal circumstances prevented renewing on	5%	6
time		
Concerned that payment will reduce	3%	4

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and renewed after deadline

Differences in renewals journey by length of tax credits receipt

	1 year or less	2-3 years	4-5 years	More than 5 years	All ⁶
	%	%	%	%	%
Easy	77	82	82	85	83
Neither easy or difficult	12	7	11	9	9
Difficult	11	11	7	7	8
Total	100	100	100	100	100
Weighted base	270	231	574	1621	2776

Ease of renewals by length of tax credits receipt

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and went through renewals process in 2008

Confidence about renewals by length of tax credits receipt

	, ,			•			
	1 year or less		2-3	4-5	More	All	-
			years	years	than 5		
					years		
		%	%	%	%	%)
Yes	8	87	85	89	92	90)
No		13	15	11	8	10)
Total	1(00	100	100	100	100)
Weighted base	2	72	231	574	1622	2790)

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and received declaration form

Awareness of deadline by length of tax credits receipt

	1 year or	2-3	4-5	More	All
	less	years	years	than 5	
				years	
	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	78	81	80	83	81
No	22	19	20	17	19
Total	100	100	100	100	100
Weighted base	425	353	548	1418	2782

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and received declaration form

⁶ In all tables 'All' includes recipients who did not provide information about one or more recipient characteristics.

	1 year or	2-3 years	4-5 years	More than 5	All
	less			years	
	%	%	%	%	%
Less than 1 week	42	37	33	32	33
1-2 weeks	34	35	28	28	30
3-4 weeks	13	18	19	19	18
1 month or more	11	9	20	21	19
Total	100	100	100	100	100
Weighted base	262	218	558	1559	2670

Timeliness of renewing by length of tax credits receipt

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and received declaration form

Guidance notes usage by length of receipt

	1 year or	2-3	4-5	More	All
	less	years	years	than 5	
				years	
	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	52	65	55	57	57
No	48	35	45	43	43
Total	100	100	100	100	100
Weighted base	299	250	615	1758	3017

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and went through renewals process in 2008

Differences in renewals journey by ability to understand official forms

Ease of renewals by agreement with statement 'I often need help understanding official forms'

	Tend to agree	Tend to have neutral views	Tend to disagree	All
	%	%	%	%
Easy	76	81	88	83
Neither easy or difficult	12	12	7	9
Difficult	12	7	5	8
Total	100	100	100	100
Weighted base	687	718	1314	2776

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and went through renewals process in 2008

	Tend to agree	Tend to have neutral views	Tend to disagree	All
	%	%	%	%
Yes	83	90	95	90
No	17	10	5	10
Total	100	100	100	100
Weighted base	687	719	1316	2790

Confidence about renewals by agreement with statement 'I often need help understanding official forms'

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and received declaration form

Experienced problems with renewals documents by agreement with statement 'I often need help understanding official forms'

· ·	•			
	Tend to	Tend to	Tend to	All
	agree	have	disagree	
		neutral		
		views		
	%	%	%	%
Yes	14	7	4	7
No	86	93	96	93
Total	100	100	100	100
Weighted	750	792	1416	3018

base

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and received annual review form.

Ease of understanding guidance notes by agreement with statement 'I often need help understanding official forms'

_	Tanalta	Tanalta	Tanalta	A 11
	Tend to	Tend to	Tend to	All
	agree	have	disagree	
		neutral		
		views		
	%	%	%	%
Easy	62	63	78	70
Neither easy or	19	24	14	18
difficult				
Difficult	18	13	8	12
Total	100	100	100	100
Weighted base	422	441	816	1794

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and used guidance notes

	Tend to agree	Tend to have neutral views	Tend to disagree	All
	%	%	%	%
Yes	29	21	14	20
No	71	79	86	80
Total	100	100	100	100
Weighted base	687	719	1316	2780

Sought help or advice by agreement with statement 'I often need help understanding official forms'

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and received declaration form

Sought help from tax credits helpline by agreement with statement 'I often need help understanding official forms'

	Tend to agree	Tend to have neutral	Tend to disagree	All
		views		
	%	%	%	%
Yes	68	84	78	75
No	32	16	22	25
Total	100	100	100	100
Weighted base	197	153	185	555

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and sought help with renewals process

Differences in renewals journey by financial capability score

	High	Mid	Low	All
	score	score	score	
	%	%	%	%
Easy	85	85	80	83
Neither easy or difficult	8	8	11	9
Difficult	6	8	8	8
Total	100	100	100	100
Weighted base	1395	479	634	2776

Ease of renewals by financial capability score

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and went through renewals process in 2008
	,	•	,	
	High	Mid	Low	All
	score	score	score	
	%	%	%	%
Yes	85	79	77	81
No	15	21	23	19
Total	100	100	100	100
Weighted base	1395	481	639	2782

Awareness of deadline by financial capability score

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and received declaration form

Timeliness of renewing by financial capability score

	0,	•	,	
	High	Mid	Low	All
	score	score	score	
	%	%	%	%
Less than 1 week	36	37	27	33
1-2 weeks	30	25	30	30
3-4 weeks	16	19	21	18
1 month or more	18	19	22	19
Total	100	100	100	100
Weighted base	1347	457	612	2670

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and received declaration form

Confidence about renewals by financial capability score

	High	Mid	Low	All
	score	score	score	
	%	%	%	%
Yes	94	89	87	90
No	6	11	13	10
Total	100	100	100	100
Weighted base	1397	479	634	2790

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and received declaration form

Experienced problems with renewals documents by financial capability score

	High	Mid	Low	All
	score	score	score	
	%	%	%	%
Yes	6	6	10	7
No	94	94	90	93
Total	100	100	100	100
Weighted base	1505	542	684	3018

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and went through renewals process in 2008

Renewed late by financial capability score

	High score	Mid score	Low score	All
	%	%	%	%
Renewed on time	96	97	91	95
Renewed late	4	3	9	5
Total	100	100	100	100
Weighted base	1355	462	627	2709

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and received declaration form

Differences in renewals journey by self-employment status

Ease of renewals by self-employment status

	Self- employed	Not self- employed	All
	%	%	%
Easy	76	84	83
Neither easy or	14	9	9
difficult			
Difficult	10	7	8
Total	100	100	100
Weighted base	405	2360	2776

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and went through renewals process in 2008

Timeliness of renewing by self-employment status

	Self-	Not self-	All
	employed	employed	
	%	%	%
Less than 1 week	22	35	33
1-2 weeks	28	30	30
3-4 weeks	21	18	18
1 month or more	29	17	19
Total	100	100	100
Weighted base	375	2285	2670

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and received declaration form

Sought help or advice by self-employment status

	Self-	Not self-	All
	employed	employed	
	%	%	%
Yes	25	19	20
No	75	81	80
Total	100	100	100
Weighted base	405	2364	2780

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and received declaration form

<u> </u>	•	y 1	,
	Self-	Not self-	All
	employed	employed	
	%	%	%
Yes	59	79	75
No	41	21	25
Total	100	100	100
Weighted base	103	450	555

Sought help from tax credits helpline by self-employment status

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and sought help with renewals

Differences in renewals journey by disability status

Confidence about renewals by main recipient or partner has longstanding illness or disability

	Longstanding		No	All
	illness		longstanding	
			illness	
		%	%	%
Yes		86	91	90
No		14	9	10
Total		100	100	100
Weighted base		502	2277	2790

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and received declaration form

Ease of understanding guidance notes by longstanding illness or disability

	Longstanding	No	All
	illness	longstanding	
		illness	
	%	%	%
Easy	60	72	70
Neither easy or	22	17	18
difficult			
Difficult	18	11	12
Total	100	100	100
Weighted base	302	1402	1794

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and used guidance notes

	Longstanding	No	All
	illness	longstanding	
		illness	
	%	%	%
Yes	27	18	20
No	73	82	80
Total	100	100	100
Weighted base	501	2278	2780

Sought help or advice by main recipient or partner has longstanding illness or disability

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and received declaration form

The renewals journey of recipients from Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic Groups

Guidance notes usag	e by ethnicity		
	White	Black, Asian	All
		and Minority	
		Ethnic Groups	
	%	%	%
Yes	55	68	57
No	45	62	43
Total	100	100	100
Weighted base	2701	304	3017

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and went through renewals process in 2008

	White	Black, Asian	All
		and Minority	
		Ethnic Groups	
	%	%	%
Failed to mention any	3	6	3
consequences			
1 correct answer	55	60	56
2 correct answers	33	31	33
3 correct answers	7	2	6
4 correct answers	2	1	2
Total	100	100	100
Weighted base	2404	272	2685

Knowledge score by ethnicity

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and received declaration form

The renewals journey of Recipients who had difficulties reading or writing English

	Can read	Cannot	All
	and write in	read or	
	English	write in	
		English	
	%	%	%
Yes	92	80	90
No	8	20	10
Total	100	100	100
Weighted base	2394	264	2790

Confidence about renewals by literacy level⁷

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and received declaration form

Recipients views about changes to the renewals process

	Less than 1	1-2 weeks	3-4 weeks	1 month or	All
	week			more	
	%	%	%	%	%
Too long	10	11	8	16	11
Too short	4	5	3	7	5
About right	87	85	89	77	85
Total	100	100	100	100	100
Weighted base	884	783	486	496	2490

Opinion of deadline by timeliness of renewing

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and received declaration form

Opinion of renewals deadline by renewal after deadline

	Renewed on time	Renewed late	All
	%	%	%
Too long	10	18	11
Too short	4	15	5
About right	85	67	85
Total	100	100	100
Weighted base	2576	133	3373

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and received declaration form

⁷ Recipient could not read or write in English if they were unable to read English newspapers/magazines or write a letter/email in English

	Tend to	Tend to	Tend to	All
	agree	have	disagree	
		neutral		
		views		
	%	%	%	%
Yes	26	26	22	24
No	74	74	78	76
Total	100	100	100	100
Weighted base	750	789	1419	3213

Changes to renewals process required by agreement with statement 'I often need help understanding official forms'

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and received declaration form

Changes to renewals process required by timeliness of renewing

	Less than 1	1-2	3-4	1	All
	week	weeks	weeks	month	
				or more	
	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	18	23	23	30	24
No	82	77	77	70	76
Total	100	100	100	100	100
Weighted base	892	788	490	497	3213

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and went through renewals process in 2008

Changes to renewals process required by type of tax credits received

0	•	, ,	/ 1	
	WTC	CTC	WTC	All
	and	only	only	
	CTC			
	%	%	%	%
Yes	18	26	13	21
No	82	74	87	79
Total	100	100	100	100
Weighted base	911	1731	153	3213

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and went through renewals process in 2008

	%	Weighted base
Simplifying process/making	56	403
clearer to respondents what was required		
Language (simpler English)	17	118
Fewer forms/less paperwork	12	84
No need for duplicates	11	80
Online renewal	6	43
Simplify forms	4	29
Change appearance of different forms	3	18
Language (translation)	2	12
Free helpline	1	10

Types of changes to renewals process required

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and would like changes made to renewal process

When were changes of circumstances reported?

Reported changes at renewals by annual household income

	Up to	£5,200-	£10,400-	£26,000-	£41,600	All
	£5,199	£10,399	£25,999	£41,599	or more	
	%	%	%	%	%	%
Did not notify HMRC	9	6	8	14	20	11
of change						
Notified of change but	22	23	23	20	11	20
not at renewals						
Notified HMRC of	15	19	24	19	22	21
change at renewals						
Did not experience	54	53	45	47	47	48
change of						
circumstances						
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100
Weighted base	118	396	1304	791	453	3303

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and had change of circumstance in last 12 months

	Reported	Reported	Weighted	
	change at	change another	base	
	renewals	time		
	%	%		
Moved home	28	72	137	
Started living with a partner	41	59	44	
Stopped living with a partner	28	72	74	
Respondent or partner changed job	47	53	257	
Respondent or partner working hours changed	48	52	426	
Respondent or partner income changed	54	46	619	
Respondent or partner started working 30+ hours	49	51	109	
Respondent or partner developed a longstanding illness	59	41	22	
Respondent or partner had a baby	45	55	157	
Childcare costs changed	42	58	216	
A child moved out	21	79	43	
A child left full-time education	49	51	100	
A child over 16 continued in full-time education	56	44	257	
A child started claiming tax credits themselves	0	100	1	
A child developed a longstanding illness	64	36	11	

Changes of circumstances reported at renewals

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and had change of circumstance in last 12 months

	Reported change at	Reported change	All
	renewals	at other	
		time	
	%	%	%
Less than one month	82	90	86
One month or more	18	10	14
Total	100	100	100
Weighted base	1176	1299	2440

Time before notified HMRC of change by when change of circumstance reported

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and reported change of circumstance

Reason change of circumstances was reported at renewals

	%	Weighted base
Took a while to get round to it	23	46
Would not affect amount of tax credits	18	37
Did not think about reporting change when it	15	30
occurred		
Other	38	76

Base: Tax credits recipients in receipt of tax credits in 2007-08 and reported change of circumstances at renewals

Logistic regression models

	Parameter	В	Std.	Ни	pothesis Te	aet
	Falameter	Б	Error	t	df	Sig.
	(Intercept)	.193	.818	.236	188.000	.813
Ethnic group of main respondent 2 categories	White	.455	.244	1.865	188.000	.064
	Non-white	.000				
At least one adult in family with long-standing	Yes	-	.208	666	188.000	.506
illness or disability	No	.138 .000				
Employment status and household type	Single: Working over 16 hrs	.000 - .531	579	918	188.000	360
	Single: Working 0-15 hrs	- .307	.453	678	188.000	.498
	Couple: Both working over 16 hrs	- .774	.552	- 1.401	188.000	.163
	Couple: One working over 16 hrs	- .281	.492	571	188.000	.569
	Couple: Both working 0-15 hrs	.000				
Whether self employed	Yes	.585	.220	2.657	188.000	.009
	No	.000				
Main respondent income varies	Not employed	-	.299	-	188.000	.146
	Income varies	.436	.199	1.458 118	188.000	.906
		.024	.100	.110	100.000	.500
	Income does not vary	.000				
Annual household income	Up to £5,199 per annum	.536	.411	1.305	188.000	.194
	£5,200 to £10,399 per annum	.426	.325	1.310	188.000	.192
	£10,400 to £25,999 per annum	.397	.280	1.415	188.000	.159
	£26,000 to £41,599 per annum	.007	.274	.025	188.000	.980
	£41,600 or more per annum	.000				
Type of tax credits received	WTC & CTC	.161	.261	.615	188.000	.540
	CTC only	.238	.312	.763	188.000	.446
	WTC only	.000				
Length of tax credits receipt	1 year or less	- .418	.174	- 2.398	188.000	.017
	2-3 years	.047	.209	.227	188.000	.821
	4-5 years	-	.191	-	188.000	.222
	More than 5 years	.234 .000		1.225		
Literacy level	Can read and write	.000 - .051	.232	218	188.000	.828
	Cannot either read or write	.000	·	·		•
Financial capability score	High score	.362	.179	2.027	188.000	.044

Table B.1 Factors predicting ease of renewals

	Mid score	.444	.228	1.949	188.000	.053
	Low score	.000	-			
I am confident using a computer	Tend to disagree	.161	.202	.799	188.000	.425
	Tend to have	.349	.193	1.810	188.000	.072
	neutral views					
	Tend to agree	.000	-			
I often need help understanding official forms	Tend to disagree	.821	.198	4.138	188.000	.000
	Tend to have	.244	.184	1.326	188.000	.186
	neutral views					
	Tend to agree	.000				
Customer Segmentation group	Willing and able	.270	.207	1.301	188.000	.195
	Willing but needs	.214	.187	1.144	188.000	.254
	help					
	Potential rule	-	.356	321	188.000	.749
	breakers	.114				
	Rule breakers	-	.404	358	188.000	.721
		.144				
	Unaware	.000				
Can tell whether got correct change at shop	Yes, easily	.103	.310	.332	188.000	.741
	With difficulty or not at all	.000				

Rows with blank confidence intervals signify the reference categories. Bold text signifies the categories within factors that are significantly different to the reference category.

	Parameter	В	Std.	Hy	pothesis Te	est
			Error	t	df	Sig.
	(Intercept)	2.942	.976	3.014	188.000	.003
Ethnic group of main respondent 2 categories	White	557	.314	-	188.000	.078
	Nie istalije	000		1.773		
	Non-white	.000		•		
At least one adult in family with long- standing illness or disability	Yes	626	.218	- 2.875	188.000	.005
	No	.000				
Employment status and household type	Single: Working over 16 hrs	473	.618	766	188.000	.445
	Single: Working 0-15 hrs	482	.454	۔ 1.063	188.000	.289
	Couple: Both working over 16 hrs	865	.592	- 1.462	188.000	.146
	Couple: One working over 16 hrs	459	.525	875	188.000	.383
	Couple: Both working 0-15 hrs	.000				
Whether self employed	Yes	.265	.266	.997	188.000	.320
	No	.000				
Main respondent income varies	Not employed	035	.363	098	188.000	.922
	Income varies	392	.221	- 1.773	188.000	.078
	Income does not vary	.000		•		
Annual household income	Up to £5,199 per annum	540	.485	۔ 1.113	188.000	.267
	£5,200 to £10,399 per annum	514	.370	- 1.388	188.000	.167
	£10,400 to £25,999 per annum	192	.301	637	188.000	.525
	£26,000 to £41,599 per annum	174	.302	575	188.000	.566

Table B.2 Factors predicting confidence at renewals

	£41,600 or more per	.000				
	annum	.000	•	•	•	•
Type of tax credits received	WTC & CTC	550	.367	-	188.000	.136
.,,,				1.498		
	CTC only	-	.443	-	188.000	.013
		1.116 .000		2.521		
Loweth of the endlite manint	WTC only	.000 648	.216	•	188.000	.003
Length of tax credits receipt	1 year or less	040	.210	- 2.996	100.000	.005
	2-3 years	860	.293	-	188.000	.004
	-			2.935		
	4-5 years	643	.224	-	188.000	.005
	More then E veers	.000		2.871		
	More than 5 years Can read and write	1.029	.235	4.377	188.000	000.
Literacy level		.000	.235	4.377	100.000	.000
	Cannot either read or write	.000	•	•	•	•
Financial capability score	High score	.645	.235	2.739	188.000	.007
	Mid score	091	.242	374	188.000	.709
	Low score	.000				
I am confident using a computer	Tend to disagree	054	.252	214	188.000	.831
r am bornaont doing a bornpator	Tend to have neutral	.396	.263	1.509	188.000	.133
	views					
	Tend to agree	.000	-			
I often need help understanding official forms	Tend to disagree	1.174	.236	4.986	188.000	.000
	Tend to have neutral views	.691	.216	3.196	188.000	.002
	Tend to agree	.000	-			
Customer Segmentation group	Willing and able	012	.265	044	188.000	.965
	Willing but needs help	335	.234	-	188.000	.154
	0			1.430		
	Potential rule breakers	698	.430	-	188.000	.107
	Rule breakers	.127	.567	1.621 .223	188.000	.823
	Unaware	.000		.220	100.000	.020
Can tell whether got correct change at shop	Yes, easily	.000	.390	.591	188.000	.555
Can ten whether you correct change at shop	With difficulty or not at	.230	.590	.591	100.000	.000
	all	.000	•	•	·	•

	Parameter	В	Std.	Hy	pothesis Te	est
			Error	t	df	Sig.
	(Intercept)	.276	.755	.366	188.000	.715
Ethnic group of main respondent 2 categories	White	-	.221	999	188.000	.319
		.221				
	Non-white	.000		•	•	
At least one adult in family with long-standing	Yes	-	.172	-	188.000	.158
illness or disability	No	.244 .000		1.418		
Employment status and household type	Single: Working over	.326	.429	.761	188.000	.447
Employment status and household type	16 hrs	.520	.429	.701	100.000	.447
	Single: Working 0-15	-	.384	466	188.000	.642
	hrs	.179				
	Couple: Both working	.377	.425	.889	188.000	.375
	over 16 hrs					
	Couple: One working	.303	.380	.797	188.000	.426
	over 16 hrs	000				
	Couple: Both working 0-15 hrs	.000	•	·	•	•
Whether self employed	Yes	.107	.191	.560	188.000	.576
Whether sell employed	No	.000		.000	100.000	.070
Main respondent income varies	Not employed	.180	265	.679	188.000	498
	Income varies	-	.182	570	188.000	.569
		.104		.010	100.000	.000
	Income does not vary	.000				
Annual household income	Up to £5,199 per	.394	.453	.870	188.000	.385
	annum					
	£5,200 to £10,399 per	.087	.289	.302	188.000	.763
	annum		226	204	100 000	702
	£10,400 to £25,999 per annum	- .086	.226	381	188.000	.703
	£26,000 to £41,599 per	-	.233	728	188.000	.467
	annum	.170	.200		100.000	
	£41,600 or more per	.000				
	annum					
Type of tax credits received	WTC & CTC	.031	.269	.114	188.000	.910
	CTC only	-	.309	310	188.000	.757
	WTC only	.096 .000				
Length of tax credits receipt	1 year or less	.000	.166	•	188.000	.019
Length of tax credits receipt	i year of less	.393	.100	2.373	100.000	.013
	2-3 years	-	.198	510	188.000	.611
		.101	470		400.000	004
	4-5 years	- .176	.178	990	188.000	.324
	More than 5 years	.000				
Literacy level	Can read and write	.294	.242	1.214	188.000	.226
	Cannot either read or	.000				
	write					
Financial capability score	High score	.384	.159	2.415	188.000	.017
-	Mid score	.162	.197	.824	188.000	.411
	Low score	.000				
I am confident using a computer	Tend to disagree	.368	.183	2.014	188.000	.045
	Tend to have neutral	.261	.189	1.380	188.000	.169
	views					
	Tend to agree	.000			•	
I often need help understanding official forms	Tend to disagree	.126	.172	.733	188.000	.465
	Tend to have neutral	-	.178	560	188.000	.576
	views	.100				
	Tend to agree	.000	•	•	•	•

Table B.3 Factors predicting awareness of renewals deadline

Customer Segmentation group	Willing and able	۔ 126.	.170	743	188.000	.458
	Willing but needs help	-	.207	975	188.000	.331
	Potential rule breakers	.202	.324	627	188.000	.532
		.203				
	Rule breakers	.046	.512	.090	188.000	.928
	Unaware	.000				
Renewed after deadline	Renewed on time	.627	.245	2.563	188.000	.011
	Renewed late	.000				
Can tell whether got correct change at shop	Yes, easily	.183	.379	.482	188.000	.630
	With difficulty or not at all	.000		·		

	Parameter	В	Std.	Hy	pothesis Te	est
			Error	t	df	Sig.
Less than 1 week	(Intercept)	-	1.031	-	188.000	.141
		1.524		1.479		
Ethnic group of main respondent 2 categories	White	.519	.289	1.796	188.000	.074
	Non-white	.000	•	•	•	
At least one adult in family with long-standing illness or disability	Yes	.274	.251	1.092	188.000	.276
	No	.000	•	•	•	
Employment status and household type	Single: Working over 16 hrs	.269	.551	.488	188.000	.626
	Single: Working 0-15 hrs	.257	.524	.491	188.000	.624
	Couple: Both working over 16 hrs	.561	.545	1.030	188.000	.304
	Couple: One working over 16 hrs	.418	.472	.886	188.000	.377
	Couple: Both working 0-15 hrs	.000				
Whether self employed	Yes	1.234	.242	5.105	188.000	.000
	No	.000				
Main respondent income varies	Not employed	.382	.341	1.120	188.000	.264
·	Income varies	053	.238	223	188.000	.823
	Income does not vary	.000				
Annual household income	Up to £5,199 per	.272	.458	.595	188.000	.553
	annum					
	£5,200 to £10,399 per annum	.691	.368	1.880	188.000	.062
	£10,400 to £25,999 per annum	.722	.289	2.500	188.000	.013
	£26,000 to £41,599 per annum	.058	.278	.208	188.000	.836
	£41,600 or more per annum	.000				
Type of tax credits received	WTC only	.023	.282	.081	188.000	.936
	CTC only	185	.204	910	188.000	.364
	WTC & CTC	.000				
Length of tax credits receipt	1 year or less	.949	.230	4.128	188.000	.000
- '	2-3 years	.733	.257	2.847	188.000	.005
	4-5 years	021	.210	100	188.000	.920
	More than 5 years	.000				

Table B.4 Factors predicting time taken to renew

Literacy level

Can read and write

.329

.022

.948

.065 188.000

	Cannot either read or write	.000				
Financial capability score	Write High score	.798	.212	3.757	188.000	.000
r mancial capability score	Mid score	.635	.277	2.288	188.000	.023
	Low score	.000				
I am confident using a computer	Tend to disagree	.000	.227	.961	188.000	.338
r an competer using a computer	Tend to have neutral	.180	.227	.794	188.000	.428
	views		.221	.194	188.000	.420
	Tend to agree	.000				
I often need help understanding official forms	Tend to disagree	115	.216	531	188.000	.596
	Tend to have neutral views	.137	.233	.586	188.000	.558
	Tend to agree	.000	•			
Customer Segmentation group	Willing and able	015	.200	073	188.000	.942
	Willing but needs help	161	.239	671	188.000	.503
	Potential rule breakers	411	.445	925	188.000	.356
	Rule breakers	.721	.585	1.232	188.000	.219
	Unaware	.000	•			
Awareness of renewal deadline	Yes	402	.210	۔ 1.912	188.000	.057
	No	.000	•	•	•	
Can tell whether got correct change at shop	Yes, easily	312	.552	565	188.000	.573
	With difficulty or not at all	.000				
Knowledge of consequences of late renewals score	Failed to mention any consequences	355	.828	429	188.000	.668
	1 correct answer	311	.596	522	188.000	.603
	2 correct answers	287	.598	480	188.000	.632
	3 correct answers	770	.628	- 1.227	188.000	.221
	4 correct answers	.000				
1-2 weeks	(Intercept)	-	1.023	-	188.000	.283
	14/1 1/	1.102		1.077	400.000	~ ~ ~ ~
Ethnic group of main respondent 2 categories	White	033	.300	109	188.000	.914
	Non-white	.000	•	·	•	•
At least one adult in family with long-standing Iness or disability	Yes	.048	.253	.190	188.000	.850
	No	.000	•	•	•	•
Employment status and household type	Single: Working over 16 hrs	.707	.606	1.166	188.000	.245
	Single: Working 0-15 hrs	.648	.538	1.204	188.000	.230
	Couple: Both working over 16 hrs	1.169	.594	1.969	188.000	.050
	Couple: One working over 16 hrs	1.011	.529	1.910	188.000	.058
	Couple: Both working 0-15 hrs	.000				
Whether self employed	Yes	.738	.253	2.924	188.000	.004
	No	.000				
Main respondent income varies	Not employed	.280	.310	.902	188.000	.368
•	Income varies	101	.235	428	188.000	.669
	Income does not vary	.000				
Annual household income	Up to £5,199 per	.488	461	1.060	188.000	290
	annum £5,200 to £10,399 per annum	.919	.387	2.376	188.000	.019
	£10,400 to £25,999	.779	.270	2.888	188.000	.004

			00.4	4.0.40	100.000	050
	£26,000 to £41,599 per annum	.553	.284	1.948	188.000	.053
	£41,600 or more per annum	.000				
Type of tax credits received	WTC only	.052	.291	.180	188.000	.858
	CTC only	186	.212	878	188.000	.381
	WTC & CTC	.000				
Length of tax credits receipt	1 year or less	.902	.223	4.040	188.000	.000
- ·	2-3 years	.779	.240	3.240	188.000	.001
	4-5 years	214	.209	-	188.000	.308
	More than 5 years	.000		1.023		
Literacy level	Can read and write	104	.329	315	188.000	.753
	Cannot either read or write	.000				
Financial capability score	High score	.507	.206	2.463	188.000	.015
	Mid score	.180	.272	.661	188.000	.510
	Low score	.000				
I am confident using a computer	Tend to disagree	.383	.244	1.572	188.000	.118
	Tend to have neutral	.371	.230	1.614	188.000	.108
	views Tend to agree	.000				
I often need help understanding official forms	Tend to disagree	.000	.225	.149	188.000	.882
Torren need help understanding official forms	Tend to have neutral	.443	.223	1.897	188.000	.059
	views					
	Tend to agree	.000				
Customer Segmentation group	Willing and able	.014	.210	.068	188.000	.946
	Willing but needs help	.062	.263	.235	188.000	.815
	Potential rule breakers	056	.404	139	188.000	.890
	Rule breakers	.890	.571	1.558	188.000	.121
	Unaware	.000				
Awareness of renewal deadline	Yes No	020 .000	.221	089	188.000	.929
Can tell whether got correct change at shop	Yes, easily	.000 689	.504		188.000	.173
Can ten whether got correct change at shop	res, easily	005	.004	1.367	100.000	.175
	With difficulty or not at all	.000			•	
Knowledge of consequences of late renewals score	Failed to mention any consequences	314	.823	382	188.000	.703
	1 correct answer	386	.617	625	188.000	.533
	2 correct answers	463	.639	725	188.000	.469
	3 correct answers	929	.685	- 1.356	188.000	.177
	4 correct answers	.000		1.000		
3-4 weeks	(Intercept)	-	1.115	909	188.000	.364
		1.013	242	202	400.000	700
Ethnic group of main respondent 2 categories	White	.094	.313	.302	188.000	.763
At least one adult in family with long-standing	Non-white Yes	.000 .315	.263	1.200	188.000	.232
illness or disability	No	.000				
Employment status and household type	Single: Working over	.620	.577	1.075	188.000	.284
	16 hrs Single: Working 0-15	.169	.575	.293	188.000	.770
	hrs Couple: Both working	.875	.570	1.536	188.000	.126
	over 16 hrs		-			-
	Couple: One working over 16 hrs	.903	.542	1.667	188.000	.097
	Couple: Both working 0-15 hrs	.000				

Whether self employed	Yes	.316	.256	1.233	188.000	.219
-	No	.000				
Main respondent income varies	Not employed	.188	.355	.528	188.000	.598
	Income varies	113	.244	465	188.000	.642
	Income does not vary	.000				
Annual household income	Up to £5,199 per	028	.607	046	188.000	.963
	annum					
	£5,200 to £10,399 per	.355	.431	.822	188.000	.412
	annum					
	£10,400 to £25,999	.866	.310	2.790	188.000	.006
	per annum					
	£26,000 to £41,599 per	.271	.320	.847	188.000	.398
	annum					
	£41,600 or more per	.000	•	•	•	
	annum					
Type of tax credits received	WTC only	812	.352	-	188.000	.022
	CTC only	213	.232	2.306 916	188.000	.36 [,]
	WTC & CTC	.000	.202	.310	100.000	.50
Longth of tox availity vacation			250	1 260		200
Length of tax credits receipt	1 year or less	.327	.258	1.269	188.000	.20
	2-3 years	.495	.285	1.740	188.000	.08
	4-5 years	068	.240	285	188.000	.77
	More than 5 years	.000	•	•	•	
Literacy level	Can read and write	143	.299	478	188.000	.63
	Cannot either read or	.000				
	write					
Financial capability score	High score	.131	.236	.556	188.000	.579
	Mid score	.241	.322	.750	188.000	.454
	Low score	.000				
I am confident using a computer	Tend to disagree	.235	.271	.867	188.000	.38
	Tend to have neutral	.370	.246	1.505	188.000	.13
	views					
	Tend to agree	.000				
I often need help understanding official forms	Tend to disagree	107	.251	425	188.000	.67
	Tend to have neutral	.129	.257	.502	188.000	.610
	views					
	Tend to agree	.000				
Customer Segmentation group	Willing and able	.060	.238	.253	188.000	.80
	Willing but needs help	.342	.255	1.337	188.000	.18
	Potential rule breakers	.068	.420	.163	188.000	.87′
	Rule breakers	.323	.659	.491	188.000	.624
	Unaware	.000				
Awareness of renewal deadline	Yes	.131	.253	.518	188.000	.60
	No	.000				
Can tell whether got correct change at shop	Yes, easily	293	.573	511	188.000	.61
ean ton michael get contest endige at shop	With difficulty or not at	.000				
	all	.000	•	•	•	
Knowledge of consequences of late renewals score	Failed to mention any consequences	854	.994	859	188.000	.39 ⁻
	1 correct answer	476	.610	781	188.000	.43
	2 correct answers	560	.620	903	188.000	.36
	3 correct answers	886	.659	903	188.000	.18
		000	.009	- 1.345	100.000	.100
	4 correct answers	.000				

Table B.5 Factors predicting use of guidance	Parameter	В	Std.	Hvi	pothesis Te	est
	r aramotor	D	Error	t	df	Sig.
	(Intercept)	1.347	.595	2.264	188.000	.025
Ethnic group of main respondent 2	White	491	.170	-	188.000	.004
categories	NI 17			2.890		
	Non-white	.000				
At least one adult in family with long-standing illness or disability	Yes	028	.135	208	188.000	.835
	No	.000				
Employment status and household type	Single: Working over	467	.342	-	188.000	.174
	16 hrs			1.364		
	Single: Working 0-15	391	.284	- 1 270	188.000	.170
	hrs Couple: Both working	268	.344	1.378 778	188.000	.438
	over 16 hrs	.200	.044		100.000	.400
	Couple: One working	146	.294	497	188.000	.620
	over 16 hrs					
	Couple: Both working	.000	•	•	•	•
Whether self employed	0-15 hrs Yes	.100	.131	.762	188.000	.447
	No	.000				
Main respondent income varies	Not employed	253	.192	-	188.000	.189
		005	400	1.318	400.000	500
	Income varies	.085 .000	.133	.635	188.000	.526
Annual household income	Income does not vary Up to £5,199 per	.000 284	.280		188.000	.312
Annual household income	annum	204	.200	1.015	100.000	.012
	£5,200 to £10,399 per	163	.227	721	188.000	.472
	annum					
	£10,400 to £25,999 per	389	.176	- 2.211	188.000	.028
	annum £26,000 to £41,599 per	095	.157	606	188.000	.545
	annum	.000		.000	100.000	.010
	£41,600 or more per	.000		-		-
— () () () ()	annum		404		400.000	400
Type of tax credits received	WTC & CTC	269	.181	- 1.484	188.000	.139
	CTC only	.060	.206	.292	188.000	.771
	WTC only	.000				
Length of tax credits receipt	1 year or less	220	.140	-	188.000	.119
	2-3 years	.397	.145	1.565 2.737	188.000	.007
	4-5 years	064	.131	491	188.000	.624
	More than 5 years	.000				
Literacy level	Can read and write	336	.192	-	188.000	.081
-		000		1.752		
	Cannot either read or write	.000	•	•	•	
Financial capability score	High score	.009	.128	.068	188.000	.946
	Mid score	.178	.153	1.165	188.000	.246
	Low score	.000				
I am confident using a computer	Tend to disagree	.296	.132	2.242	188.000	.026
	Tend to have neutral	.224	.138	1.625	188.000	.106
	views Tend to agree	.000				
I often need help understanding official forms	Tend to disagree	.000	.142	.144	188.000	.886
	Tend to have neutral	068	.150	453	188.000	.651
	views					
	Tend to agree	.000	•	•		
Customer Segmentation group	Willing and able	.153	.119	1.285	188.000	.200

Table B.5 Factors predicting use of guidance notes

	Willing but needs help	.110	.150	.733	188.000	.464
	0					-
	Potential rule breakers	.006	.254	.024	188.000	.981
	Rule breakers	237	.350	677	188.000	.499
	Unaware	.000				
Can tell whether got correct change at shop	Yes, easily	.013	.289	.045	188.000	.964
	With difficulty or not at	.000				
	all					

Table B.6 Factors predicting experiencing problems with renewal docs

	Parameter	В	Std.	Hy	oothesis Te	est
			Error	t	df	Sig.
	(Intercept)	- 1.756	1.269	- 1.384	188.000	.168
Ethnic group of main respondent 2 categories	White	.030	.323	.092	188.000	.926
Ethnic group of main respondent 2 categories	Non-white	.000	.020	.002	100.000	.020
At least one adult in family with long-standing illness or disability	Yes	093	258	358	188.000	721
	No	.000				
Employment status and household type	Single: Working over 16 hrs	.094	.697	.135	188.000	.893
	Single: Working 0-15 hrs	.179	.503	.356	188.000	.722
	Couple: Both working over 16 hrs	.044	.729	.060	188.000	.952
	Couple: One working over 16 hrs	.173	.505	.342	188.000	.733
	Couple: Both working 0-15 hrs	.000				
Whether self employed	Yes	331	.314	- 1.053	188.000	.294
	No	.000		•	•	•
Main respondent income varies	Not employed	.666	.420	1.586	188.000	.114
	Income varies	263	.309	850	188.000	.397
	Income does not vary	.000	•	•	•	•
Annual household income	Up to £5,199 per annum	372	.612	607	188.000	.545
	£5,200 to £10,399 per annum	401	.493	814	188.000	.417
	£10,400 to £25,999 per annum	365	.389	940	188.000	.348
	£26,000 to £41,599 per annum	169	.361	467	188.000	.641
	£41,600 or more per	.000	•	•	•	•
Type of tax credits received	annum WTC & CTC	.583	.414	1.406	188.000	.161
	CTC only	.732	.506	1.446	188.000	.150
	WTC only	.000				
Length of tax credits receipt	1 year or less	475	.293	۔ 1.619	188.000	.107
	2-3 years	.023	.337	.068	188.000	.946
	4-5 years	.348	.223	1.558	188.000	.121
	More than 5 years	.000				
Literacy level	Can read and write	503	.252	- 1.992	188.000	.048
	Cannot either read or write	.000				
Financial capability score	High score	523	.268	- 1.956	188.000	.052
	Mid score	392	.339	- 1.158	188.000	.248
	Low score	.000				

I am confident using a computer	Tend to disagree	.099	.268	.371	188.000	.711
	Tend to have neutral views	.152	.283	.536	188.000	.593
	Tend to agree	.000				
l often need help understanding official forms	Tend to disagree	۔ 1.418	.246	- 5.763	188.000	.000
	Tend to have neutral views	839	.239	- 3.516	188.000	.001
	Tend to agree	.000				
Customer Segmentation group	Willing and able	.418	.271	1.545	188.000	.124
	Willing but needs help	.567	.284	1.999	188.000	.047
	Potential rule breakers	.942	.471	2.000	188.000	.047
	Rule breakers	1.175	.571	2.058	188.000	.041
	Unaware	.000	-			
Can tell whether got correct change at shop	Yes, easily	260	.440	591	188.000	.555
	With difficulty or not at all	.000				·

Table B.7 Factors predicting ease of understanding guidance notes

	Parameter	В	Std.	Ну	pothesis Te	est
			Error	t	df	Sig.
Easy	(Intercept)	.805	1.052	.765	188.000	.445
Ethnic group of main respondent 2 categories	White	.234	.377	.620	188.000	.536
	Non-white	.000				
At least one adult in family with long- standing illness or disability	Yes	662	.294	- 2.252	188.000	.025
	No	.000				
Employment status and household type	Single: Working over 16 hrs	.779	.800	.974	188.000	.331
	Single: Working 0-15 hrs	.615	.541	1.138	188.000	.257
	Couple: Both working over 16 hrs	.530	.715	.741	188.000	.459
	Couple: One working over 16 hrs	.543	.599	.907	188.000	.366
	Couple: Both working 0-15 hrs	.000				•
Whether self employed	Yes	.221	.325	.682	188.000	.496
	No	.000				
Main respondent income varies	Not employed	206	.386	534	188.000	.594
	Income varies	383	.272	- 1.404	188.000	.162
	Income does not vary	.000				
Annual household income	Up to £5,199 per annum	.323	.597	.541	188.000	.589
	£5,200 to £10,399 per annum	.277	.497	.557	188.000	.578
	£10,400 to £25,999 per annum	072	.404	178	188.000	.859
	£26,000 to £41,599 per annum	.114	.405	.283	188.000	.778
	£41,600 or more per annum	.000				
Type of tax credits received	WTC & CTC	883	.475	- 1.858	188.000	.065
	CTC only	805	.556	- 1.447	188.000	.150
	WTC only	.000				

Length of tax credits receipt	1 year or less	.749	.358	2.090	188.000	.038
	2-3 years	223	.284	788	188.000	.432
	4-5 years	392	.287	-	188.000	.175
	•• // -			1.363		
	More than 5 years	.000				
Literacy level	Can read and write	.547	.270	2.029	188.000	.044
	Cannot either read or	.000	•	•		•
The second state of the second	write	000	000	4 007	400.000	400
Financial capability score	High score	.396	.303	1.307	188.000	.193
	Mid score	051	.339	150	188.000	.881
	Low score	.000	•		•	
I am confident using a computer	Tend to disagree	.188	.265	.709	188.000	.479
	Tend to have neutral	.302	.290	1.042	188.000	.299
	views					
	Tend to agree	.000				
I often need help understanding official forms	Tend to disagree	1.268	.286	4.429	188.000	.000
101115	Tend to have neutral	.510	.264	1.936	188.000	.054
	views					
	Tend to agree	.000				
Customer Segmentation group	Willing and able	272	.288	946	188.000	.346
	Willing but needs help	229	.307	745	188.000	.457
	Potential rule	-	.460	-	188.000	.021
	breakers	1.071		2.328		
	Rule breakers	676	.723	935	188.000	.351
	Unaware	.000				
Can tell whether got correct change at shop	Yes, easily	042	.481	087	188.000	.931
	With difficulty or not at	.000				
	all					
Neither easy or difficult	(Intercept)	1.012	1.261	.803	188.000	.423
Ethnic group of main respondent 2 categories	White	162	.407	398	188.000	.691
	Non-white	.000				
At least one adult in family with long-standing	Yes	127	.327	388	188.000	.698
illness or disability						
	No	.000	•	•		
Employment status and household type	Single: Working over 16 hrs	1.075	.858	1.253	188.000	.212
	Single: Working 0-15	.604	.658	.918	188.000	.360
	hrs	.004	.050	.910	100.000	.300
	Couple: Both working	.206	.772	.267	188.000	.790
	over 16 hrs	.200		.201	100.000	
	Couple: One working	.615	.668	.921	188.000	.358
	over 16 hrs					
	Couple: Both working	.000				
	0-15 hrs					
Whether self employed	Yes	385	.360	-	188.000	.285
	NL.	000		1.071		
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	No	.000				
Main respondent income varies	Not employed	127	.407	311	188.000	.756
	Income varies	959	.326	-	188.000	.004
	Income does not vary	.000		2.946		
Annual household income	Up to £5,199 per	.000	912	-	188.000	.042
	annum	1.866		2.047	100.000	
	£5,200 to £10,399 per		.586		188.000	.055
	annum	1.131		1.931		
	£10,400 to £25,999 per	836	.445	-	188.000	.062
	annum		-	1.879		
	£26,000 to £41,599 per	272	.453	601	188.000	.548
	annum					
	£41,600 or more per	.000				

	annum					
Type of tax credits received	WTC & CTC	318	.538	590	188.000	.556
	CTC only	131	.633	206	188.000	.837
	WTC only	.000				
Length of tax credits receipt	1 year or less	.782	.405	1.930	188.000	.055
	2-3 years	269	.362	743	188.000	.458
	4-5 years	162	.306	529	188.000	.598
	More than 5 years	.000				
Literacy level	Can read and write	166	.358	463	188.000	.644
	Cannot either read or write	.000				·
Financial capability score	High score	.242	.315	.768	188.000	.444
· ·	Mid score	164	.382	429	188.000	.668
	Low score	.000				
am confident using a computer	Tend to disagree	.046	.373	.122	188.000	.903
	Tend to have neutral views	.025	.357	.069	188.000	.945
	Tend to agree	.000				
l often need help understanding official forms	Tend to disagree	.932	.336	2.774	188.000	.006
	Tend to have neutral views	.705	.322	2.192	188.000	.030
	Tend to agree	.000	-	•		•
Customer Segmentation group	Willing and able	- 1.039	.338	- 3.076	188.000	.002
	Willing but needs help	430	.365	- 1.178	188.000	.240
	Potential rule	-	.652	-	188.000	.000
	breakers	2.334	740	3.578	400.000	770
	Rule breakers	213	.749	285	188.000	.776
Open tall whether not perment changes at them	Unaware	.000				
Can tell whether got correct change at shop	Yes, easily With difficulty or not at all	.641 .000	.612	1.048	188.000	.296

Table B.8 Factors predicting whether sought help with renewals

	Parameter	В	Std.	Hy	pothesis Te	est
			Error	t	df	Sig.
	(Intercept)	۔ 226.	.704	321	188.000	.749
Ethnic group of main respondent 2 categories	White	۔ 168.	.198	850	188.000	.396
	Non-white	.000		•		-
At least one adult in family with long- standing illness or disability	Yes	.374	.170	2.200	188.000	.029
	No	.000				
Employment status and household type	Single: Working over 16 hrs	.098	.462	.211	188.000	.833
	Single: Working 0-15 hrs	.077	.342	.224	188.000	.823
	Couple: Both working over 16 hrs	.118	.465	.254	188.000	.799
	Couple: One working over 16 hrs	۔ 244.	.402	608	188.000	.544
	Couple: Both working 0-15 hrs	.000	·			·
Whether self employed	Yes	- .357	.185	۔ 1.925	188.000	.056
	No	.000				
Main respondent income varies	Not employed	.325	.280	1.161	188.000	.247
	Income varies	.179	.185	.963	188.000	.337

	Income does not vary	.000				
Annual household income	Up to £5,199 per	.484	.370	1.308	188.000	.193
	annum					
	£5,200 to £10,399 per	.079	.317	.248	188.000	.805
	annum					
	£10,400 to £25,999 per	-	.248	711	188.000	.478
	annum	.176				
	£26,000 to £41,599 per	.002	.250	.010	188.000	.992
	annum	000				
	£41,600 or more per	.000	•	•	•	•
Turne of toy, and its reactived		.051	.223	.227	188.000	.821
Type of tax credits received	WTC & CTC	.051				
	CTC only	- .220	.240	913	188.000	.362
	WTC only	.000				
Length of tax credits receipt	1 year or less	.111	.172	643	188.000	.521
Length of lax credits receipt	2-3 years	.430	.196	2.192	188.000	.021
	•		.169	1.279	188.000	.202
	4-5 years	.217	.109	1.279	100.000	.202
	More than 5 years	.000		•		
Literacy level	Can read and write	-	.200	- 1.803	188.000	.073
	Cannot either read or	.360 .000		1.603		
	write	.000	•	•	•	•
Financial capability score	High score	-	.180	-	188.000	.228
r manolal capability coord	riigh coore	.218		1.209		0
	Mid score	-	.222	336	188.000	.737
		.074				
	Low score	.000	•	•		•
I am confident using a computer	Tend to disagree	-	.167	006	188.000	.996
	Tond to have neutral	.001	166	1 000	100 000	070
	Tend to have neutral	.301	.166	1.808	188.000	.072
	views Tend to agree	.000				
Leften need help understanding official	-	.000	.165	•	188.000	.000
I often need help understanding official forms	Tend to disagree	- .737	.105	- 4.463	100.000	.000
IOIIIIS	Tend to have neutral	-	.153	-	188.000	.024
	views	.348	.155	2.269	100.000	.024
	Tend to agree	.000				
Customer Segmentation group	Willing and able	-	.172	331	188.000	.741
Customer Segmentation group		.057		.001	100.000	./ + /
	Willing but needs help	.101	.187	.542	188.000	.588
	Potential rule breakers	.302	.358	.844	188.000	.400
	Rule breakers	-	.427	756	188.000	.451
		.323				
	Unaware	.000				
Can tell whether got correct change at shop	Yes, easily	-	.318	250	188.000	.803
	•	.080				
	With difficulty or not at all	.000	•			•

Table B.9 Factors predicting whether sought	Parameter	B	Std.	Hv	pothesis Te	est
			Error	t	df	Sig.
	(Intercept)	-	1.404	-	161.000	.074
		2.526		1.799		
Ethnic group of main respondent 2 categories	White	.239	.417	.574	161.000	.567
	Non-white	.000				
At least one adult in family with long-standing illness or disability	Yes	237	.369	644	161.000	.521
initess of disability	No	.000		-	-	
Employment status and household type	Single: Working over	172	.981	175	161.000	.861
	16 hrs			-		
	Single: Working 0-15	-	.760	-	161.000	.068
	hrs	1.395		1.835		
	Couple: Both working	499	.888	562	161.000	.575
	over 16 hrs Couple: One working	.496	.837	.593	161.000	.554
	over 16 hrs	.430	.007	.595	101.000	.554
	Couple: Both working	.000				
	0-15 hrs					
Whether self employed	Yes	1.144	.439	2.603	161.000	.010
	No	.000			•	•
Main respondent income varies	Not employed	370	.751	493	161.000	.623
	Income varies	.294	.466	.632	161.000	.528
	Income does not vary	.000				
Annual household income	Up to £5,199 per	.327	1.050	.312	161.000	.756
	annum 55 200 to £10 399 per	.160	1.020	.157	161.000	.876
	£5,200 to £10,399 per annum	.100	1.020	.107	101.000	.070
	£10,400 to £25,999 per	.634	.956	.663	161.000	.508
	annum					
	£26,000 to £41,599 per	405	.794	511	161.000	.610
	annum	000				
	£41,600 or more per	.000			•	•
Type of tax credits received	annum WTC & CTC	1.387	.490	2.828	161.000	.005
Type of lan ofenile received	CTC only	2.384	.726	3.282	161.000	.003
	WTC only	.000				
Length of tax credits receipt	1 year or less	680	.377	-	161.000	.073
	-			1.805		
	2-3 years	1.186	.573	2.070	161.000	.040
	4-5 years	.611	.418	1.460	161.000	.146
	More than 5 years	.000				
Literacy level	Can read and write	1.090	.421	2.591	161.000	.010
	Cannot either read or	.000				•
Financial capability score	write High score	.383	.419	.915	161.000	.362
i manoiai capability Score	Mid score	.700	.578	1.211	161.000	.228
	Low score	.000	.070		101.000	0
I am confident using a computer	Tend to disagree	547	.313	-	161.000	.082
	-			1.749		
	Tend to have neutral	309	.461	670	161.000	.504
	views	000				
Lefter recalls an enders to a line off station	Tend to agree	.000				
I often need help understanding official forms	Tend to disagree	.053	.394	.133	161.000	.894 075
	Tend to have neutral views	.692	.387	1.791	161.000	.075
	Tend to agree	.000				
Customer Segmentation group	Willing and able	061	.392	156	161.000	.876
						, . .

Table B.9 Factors predicting whether sought help with renewals from helpline

	Willing but needs help	217	.421	516	161.000	.607
	Potential rule breakers	.995	.858	1.160	161.000	.248
	Rule breakers	1.805	1.493	1.208	161.000	.229
	Unaware	.000				
Table B.10 Factors predicting whether renewed			011		4 · · -	
	Parameter	В	Std. Error		pothesis Te	
				t	df	Sig.
	(Intercept)	2.475	1.689	1.466	188.000	.144
Ethnic group of main respondent 2 categories	White	.342	.387	.883	188.000	.378
	Non-white	.000				
At least one adult in family with long-standing illness or disability	Yes	.149	.309	.480	188.000	.632
	No	.000				
Employment status and household type	Single: Working over 16 hrs	231	.936	246	188.000	.806
	Single: Working 0-15 hrs	209	.759	276	188.000	.783
	Couple: Both working over 16 hrs	319	.894	357	188.000	.722
	Couple: One working over 16 hrs	343	.751	457	188.000	.648
	Couple: Both working 0-15 hrs	.000				
Whether self employed	Yes	.202	.298	.676	188.000	.500
	No	.000				
Main respondent income varies	Not employed	200	.458	437	188.000	.663
	Income varies	251	.362	694	188.000	.489
	Income does not vary	.000				
Annual household income	Up to £5,199 per annum	.140	.698	.201	188.000	.841
	£5,200 to £10,399 per annum	048	.560	086	188.000	.931
	£10,400 to £25,999 per annum	.497	.412	1.206	188.000	.229
	£26,000 to £41,599 per annum	.355	.382	.930	188.000	.354
	£41,600 or more per annum	.000				
Type of tax credits received	WTC & CTC	188	.518	363	188.000	.717
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CTC only	650	.543	-	188.000	.233
	-			1.196		
	WTC only	.000	• • •			
Length of tax credits receipt	1 year or less	.001	.305	.003	188.000	.997
	2-3 years	.191	.323	.592	188.000	.555
	4-5 years	.257	.361	.712	188.000	.478
	More than 5 years	.000				
Literacy level	Can read and write	.017	.435	.038	188.000	.969
	Cannot either read or write	.000				
Financial capability score	High score	1.081	.334	3.238	188.000	.001
	Mid score	1.361	.378	3.605	188.000	.000
	Low score	.000				
I am confident using a computer	Tend to disagree	.630	.355	1.777	188.000	.077
	Tend to have neutral	.581	.336	1.729	188.000	.086
	views					
	Tend to agree	.000	•			•
I often need help understanding official forms	Tend to disagree	.546	.278	1.962	188.000	.051
	Tend to have neutral	.875	.337	2.597	188.000	.010

	views					
	Tend to agree	.000				
Customer Segmentation group	Willing and able	459	.338	-	188.000	.176
	-			1.359		
	Willing but needs help	532	.350	-	188.000	.131
				1.517		
	Potential rule breakers	400	.663	603	188.000	.547
	Rule breakers	626	.531	-	188.000	.240
				1.179		
	Unaware	.000				
Awareness of renewal deadline	Yes	.614	.242	2.540	188.000	.012
	No	.000				
Can tell whether got correct change at shop	Yes, easily	-	.977	-	188.000	.265
5		1.092		1.117		
	With difficulty or not at	.000				
	all					

Table B.11	Factors predicting knowledge of consequences of late renewals
------------	---

	Parameter	В	Std.	Hy	est	
			Error	t	df	Sig.
Failed to mention any consequences	(Intercept)	1.278	3.118	.410	188.000	.682
Ethnic group of main respondent 2	White	-	.745	-	188.000	.028
categories		1.646		2.210		
	Non-white	.000	•	•	•	•
At least one adult in family with long-standing illness or disability	Yes	886	.695	- 1.275	188.000	.204
	No	.000	•		•	
Employment status and household type	Single: Working over 16 hrs	1.628	2.035	.800	188.000	.425
	Single: Working 0-15 hrs	1.364	1.334	1.023	188.000	.308
	Couple: Both working over 16 hrs	2.870	1.968	1.458	188.000	.146
	Couple: One working over 16 hrs	2.896	1.717	1.686	188.000	.093
	Couple: Both working 0-15 hrs	.000				•
Whether self employed	Yes	636	.658	968	188.000	.335
	No	.000				
Main respondent income varies	Not employed	239	1.201	199	188.000	.842
	Income varies	059	.632	093	188.000	.926
	Income does not vary	.000				
Annual household income	Up to £5,199 per annum	.928	1.509	.615	188.000	.539
	£5,200 to £10,399 per annum	.807	1.071	.753	188.000	.452
	£10,400 to £25,999 per annum	1.204	.891	1.352	188.000	.178
	£26,000 to £41,599 per annum	1.086	.911	1.192	188.000	.235
	£41,600 or more per annum	.000				
Type of tax credits receipt	WTC & CTC	730	.603	۔ 1.210	188.000	.228
	CTC only	.577	.843	.684	188.000	.495
	WTC only	.000				
Length of tax credits receipt	1 year or less	.944	.625	1.510	188.000	.133
-	2-3 years	.716	.600	1.194	188.000	.234
	4-5 years	.297	.693	.428	188.000	.669
	More than 5 years	.000				
Literacy level	Can read and write	179	.587	304	188.000	.761

	Cannot either read or	.000			•	
Financial capability score	write High score	712	.596	-	188.000	.234
	Mid score	.231	.712	1.193 .324	188.000	.746
	Low score	.000				
I am confident using a computer	Tend to disagree	1.939	.821	2.361	188.000	.019
	Tend to have neutral views	.967	.718	1.347	188.000	.180
	Tend to agree	.000				
I often need help understanding official forms	Tend to disagree	.272	.546	.499	188.000	.619
	Tend to have neutral views	431	.556	775	188.000	.439
	Tend to agree	.000				
Customer Segmentation group	Willing and able	884	.544	-	188.000	.106
	Willing but needs help	-	.657	1.625 -	188.000	.086
	Winnig but noodd noip	1.133		1.725		
	Potential rule breakers	.945	1.316	.718	188.000	.474
	Rule breakers	048	1.284	037	188.000	.971
	Unaware	.000				
Can tell whether got correct change at shop	Yes, easily	- 1.625	1.174	- 1.384	188.000	.168
	With difficulty or not at all	.000		1.304		•
1 correct answer	(Intercept)	2.146	2.169	.990	188.000	.324
Ethnic group of main respondent 2 categories	White	-	.646	-	188.000	.106
	NI 19	1.048		1.623		
At least one solution family with laws standing	Non-white	.000		·		
At least one adult in family with long-standing illness or disability	Yes	627 .000	.435	- 1.441	188.000	.151
Employment status and household type	No Single: Working over	1.060	1.615	657	188.000	.512
Employment status and household type	Single: Working over 16 hrs		.755	.135	188.000	.893
	Single: Working 0-15 hrs	.102				
	Couple: Both working over 16 hrs	2.066	1.533	1.347	188.000	.179
	Couple: One working over 16 hrs	2.214	1.238	1.788	188.000	.075
	Couple: Both working 0-15 hrs	.000	•	•	•	•
Whether self employed	Yes	313	.509	615	188.000	.540
	No	.000				
Main respondent income varies	Not employed	.149	1.125	.133	188.000	.895
	Income varies	.034	.460	.074	188.000	.941
	Income does not vary	.000				
Annual household income	Up to £5,199 per annum	2.460	1.013	2.427	188.000	.016
	£5,200 to £10,399 per annum	1.809	.698	2.591	188.000	.010
	£10,400 to £25,999	1.594	.566	2.813	188.000	.005
	per annum £26,000 to £41,599	1.617	.695	2.326	188.000	.021
	per annum £41,600 or more per	.000				
Type of tax credits receipt	annum WTC & CTC	.429	.458	.935	188.000	.351
	CTC only	1.155	.696	1.660	188.000	.099
	WTC only	.000				
Length of tax credits receipt	1 year or less	.456	.553	.826	188.000	.410

_

	4.5	4 007	470	0.455	400.000	000
	4-5 years	1.027	.476	2.155	188.000	.032
	More than 5 years	.000				
Literacy level	Can read and write	.014	.518	.026	188.000	.979
	Cannot either read or	.000	•	•	•	•
—	write	0.45				= 10
Financial capability score	High score	245	.409	600	188.000	.549
	Mid score	.096	.518	.185	188.000	.853
	Low score	.000				
I am confident using a computer	Tend to disagree	1.039	.646	1.609	188.000	.109
	Tend to have neutral	.761	.471	1.616	188.000	.108
	views					
	Tend to agree	.000	•			
I often need help understanding official forms	Tend to disagree	.774	.452	1.713	188.000	.088
	Tend to have neutral	321	.448	716	188.000	.475
	views					
	Tend to agree	.000				
Customer Segmentation group	Willing and able	552	.370	-	188.000	.137
				1.494		
	Willing but needs help	439	.553	793	188.000	.429
	Potential rule breakers	1.367	1.096	1.247	188.000	.214
	Rule breakers	419	1.005	417	188.000	.677
	Unaware	.000				
Can tell whether got correct change at shop	Yes, easily	-	1.047	-	188.000	.182
		1.402		1.339		
	With difficulty or not at	.000	•	•	•	
-	all			170		
2 correct answers	(Intercept)	1.036	2.205	.470	188.000	.639
Ethnic group of main respondent 2 categories	White	-	.629	-	188.000	.096
	Non-white	1.051 .000		1.672		
At least one edult in femily with long standing				•	100.000	
At least one adult in family with long-standing	Yes	575	.445	- 1.291	188.000	.198
illness or disability	No	.000		1.201		
Employment status and boussheld type		.660	1.664	.397	188.000	.692
Employment status and household type	Single: Working over 16 hrs	.000	1.004	.397	100.000	.092
	Single: Working 0-15	058	.781	074	188.000	.941
	hrs	.000	.701	.074	100.000	.541
	Couple: Both working	1.799	1.556	1.156	188.000	.249
	over 16 hrs				100.000	0
	Couple: One working	1.746	1.285	1.359	188.000	.176
	over 16 hrs	-				-
	Couple: Both working	.000				
	0-15 hrs					
Whether self employed	Yes	.010	.513	.019	188.000	.985
	No	.000				
Main respondent income varies	Not employed	.177	1.146	.154	188.000	.878
	Income varies	.187	.459	.407	188.000	.685
	Income does not vary	.000				
Annual household income	Up to £5,199 per	2.385	1.044	2.285	188.000	.023
	annum	2.000		2.200		.525
	£5,200 to £10,399 per	1.469	.727	2.020	188.000	.045
	annum					
	£10,400 to £25,999	1.408	.622	2.264	188.000	.025
	per annum					
	£26,000 to £41,599 per	1.382	.722	1.913	188.000	.057
	annum					
	£41,600 or more per	.000				
	annum					
Type of tax credits receipt	WTC & CTC	.321	.459	.699	188.000	.485
	CTC only	.896	.711	1.261	188.000	.209
	WTC only	.000				
	,					

Length of tax credits receipt	1 year or less	.463	.547	.847	188.000	.398
	2-3 years	.130	.474	.275	188.000	.783
	4-5 years	1.053	.489	2.152	188.000	.033
	More than 5 years	.000				
Literacy level	Can read and write	.300	.542	.555	188.000	.580
	Cannot either read or write	.000				
Financial capability score	High score	285	.416	685	188.000	.494
	Mid score	137	.549	249	188.000	.804
	Low score	.000				
I am confident using a computer	Tend to disagree	.651	.630	1.033	188.000	.303
	Tend to have neutral views	.422	.484	.871	188.000	.385
	Tend to agree	.000				
I often need help understanding official forms	Tend to disagree	.525	.456	1.152	188.000	.251
	Tend to have neutral views	448	.453	989	188.000	.324
	Tend to agree	.000	•	•	•	•
Customer Segmentation group	Willing and able	434	.384	- 1.132	188.000	.259
	Willing but needs help	367	.568	647	188.000	.518
	Potential rule breakers	.494	1.089	.453	188.000	.651
	Rule breakers	069	.990	070	188.000	.944
	Unaware	.000				
Can tell whether got correct change at shop	Yes, easily	328	1.064	308	188.000	.758
	With difficulty or not at all	.000				
3 correct answers	(Intercept)	1.843	2.251	.818	188.000	.414
Ethnic group of main respondent 2 categories	White	126	.683	184	188.000	.854
	Non-white	.000		•		•
At least one adult in family with long-standing illness or disability	Yes	547	.479	- 1.141	188.000	.255
	No	.000				
Employment status and household type	Single: Working over 16 hrs	432	1.699	255	188.000	.799
	Single: Working 0-15	- 1.261	1.035	- 1.218	188.000	.225
	hrs Coupley Both working	.307	1 625	.188	199 000	951
	Couple: Both working over 16 hrs	.307	1.635	.100	188.000	.851
	Couple: One working over 16 hrs	.713	1.312	.543	188.000	.587
	Couple: Both working 0-15 hrs	.000				
Whether self employed	Yes	547	.527	۔ 1.038	188.000	.301
	No	.000				
Main respondent income varies	Not employed	410	1.238	332	188.000	.741
	Income varies	.207	.521	.396	188.000	.692
	Income does not vary	.000				
Annual household income	Up to £5,199 per annum	.866	1.279	.678	188.000	.499
	£5,200 to £10,399 per annum	.858	.769	1.115	188.000	.266
	£10,400 to £25,999 per annum	.962	.618	1.558	188.000	.121
	£26,000 to £41,599 per annum	.927	.791	1.172	188.000	.243
	£41,600 or more per annum	.000				
Type of tax credits receipt	WTC & CTC	.529	.587	.902	188.000	.368
	CTC only	.964	.786	1.226	188.000	.222

_

	WTC only	.000				
Length of tax credits receipt	1 year or less	.324	.586	.552	188.000	.582
	2-3 years	.242	.498	.486	188.000	.628
	4-5 years	.692	.527	1.314	188.000	.190
	More than 5 years	.000				
Literacy level	Can read and write	.010	.628	.016	188.000	.987
	Cannot either read or write	.000				
Financial capability score	High score	297	.497	598	188.000	.550
	Mid score	.191	.577	.331	188.000	.741
	Low score	.000				
I am confident using a computer	Tend to disagree	1.090	.724	1.505	188.000	.134
	Tend to have neutral	.714	.551	1.295	188.000	.197
	views					
	Tend to agree	.000	•	•	•	•
I often need help understanding official forms	Tend to disagree	.274	.489	.561	188.000	.575
	Tend to have neutral views	288	.475	606	188.000	.545
	Tend to agree	.000				
Customer Segmentation group	Willing and able	073	.445	163	188.000	.870
	Willing but needs help	685	.648	- 1.058	188.000	.292
	Potential rule breakers	1.121	1.252	.896	188.000	.372
	Rule breakers	.769	1.042	.738	188.000	.461
	Unaware	.000				
Can tell whether got correct change at shop	Yes, easily	- 1.501	1.145	- 1.311	188.000	.192
	With difficulty or not at all	.000				

Table B.12 Factors predicting opinion of renewals deadline

	Parameter	В	Std.	Hy	pothesis Te	est
			Error	t	df	Sig.
too long	(Intercept)	-	1.089	-	188.000	.127
-		1.669		1.533		
Ethnic group of main respondent 2 categories	White	.463	.370	1.253	188.000	.212
	Non-white	.000				
At least one adult in family with long-standing illness or disability	Yes	.285	.211	1.347	188.000	.180
	No	.000				
Employment status and household type	Single: Working over 16 hrs	.113	.710	.159	188.000	.874
	Single: Working 0-15 hrs	.800	.647	1.237	188.000	.218
	Couple: Both working over 16 hrs	161	.681	236	188.000	.814
	Couple: One working over 16 hrs	.089	.608	.146	188.000	.884
	Couple: Both working 0-15 hrs	.000				
Whether self employed	Yes	.101	.290	.348	188.000	.728
	No	.000				
Main respondent income varies	Not employed	402	.365	- 1.102	188.000	.272
	Income varies	.002	.219	.011	188.000	.991
	Income does not vary	.000				
Annual household income	Up to £5,199 per	-	.635	-	188.000	.022
	annum	1.461		2.302		
	£5,200 to £10,399 per	529	.423	-	188.000	.213

	annum			1.250		
	£10,400 to £25,999 per	348	.304	- 1.146	188.000	.253
	annum £26,000 to £41,599 per	098	.309	316	188.000	.752
	annum	030	.003	010	100.000	.152
	£41,600 or more per	.000				
	annum					
Type of tax credits receipt	WTC & CTC	034	.382	088	188.000	.930
	CTC only	060	.430	139	188.000	.890
	WTC only	.000		•	•	-
Length of tax credits receipt	1 year or less	397	.251	-	188.000	.115
	2-3 years	115	.269	1.582 427	188.000	.670
	4-5 years	105	.203	492	188.000	.623
	More than 5 years	.000	.215	+52	100.000	.025
Literacy level	Can read and write	.000	.313	.087	188.000	.931
	Cannot either read or	.000	.010	.007	100.000	.001
	write	.000	•	•	•	•
Financial capability score	High score	.142	.245	.579	188.000	.563
	Mid score	.239	.260	.918	188.000	.360
	Low score	.000				
I am confident using a computer	Tend to disagree	736	.310	-	188.000	.019
2 .			04.4	2.370	400.000	505
	Tend to have neutral	.114	.214	.533	188.000	.595
	views Tend to agree	.000				
I often need help understanding official forms	Tend to disagree	.305	.204	1.495	188.000	.137
	Tend to have neutral	.132	.251	.526	188.000	.599
	views		.201	.020	100.000	.000
	Tend to agree	.000				
Customer Segmentation group	Willing and able	055	.214	258	188.000	.797
	Willing but needs help	.190	.278	.684	188.000	.495
	Potential rule breakers	.505	.400	1.261	188.000	.209
	Rule breakers	227	.684	332	188.000	.740
	Unaware	.000				
Time taken to renew tax credits	Less than 1 week	472	.227	-	188.000	.039
				2.078		
	1-2 weeks	389	.234	-	188.000	.098
	3-4 weeks	845	.305	1.663	188.000	.006
	J-4 WEEKS	045	.303	2.771	100.000	.000
	1 month or longer	.000				
		450	004	050	400.000	540
Awareness of renewal deadline	Yes	.150	.231	.650	188.000	.516
Demoused offen de edition	No Renewed on time	.000		•		
Renewed after deadline	Renewed on time	726	.367	- 1.979	188.000	.049
	Renewed late	.000				
Can tell whether got correct change at shop	Yes, easily	.160	.471	.340	188.000	.735
	With difficulty or not at	.000				
	all					
too short	(Intercept)	.233	1.416	.165	188.000	.869
Ethnic group of main respondent 2 categories	White	453	.393	-	188.000	.251
	Non white	000		1.151		
At loost one adult in family with laws star it as	Non-white	.000	217	070		705
At least one adult in family with long-standing illness or disability	Yes	.086	.317	.273	188.000	.785
initess of disability	No	.000				
Employment status and household type	Single: Working over	799	.648	-	188.000	.219
	16 hrs		.010	1.234		
	Single: Working 0-15	409	.634	646	188.000	.519
					-	

Renewed after deadline	Renewed on time	- 1.045	.389	- 2.689	188.000	.008
	No	.000	•	2.481	•	
Awareness of renewal deadline	Yes	.000 782	.315	- 2.404	188.000	.014
	1 month or longer	.000		1.458		
	3-4 weeks	637	.437	-	188.000	.146
	1-2 weeks	076	.357	212	188.000	.832
Time taken to renew tax credits	Less than 1 week	234	.374	625	188.000	.532
	Unaware	.000		1.110		
	Rule breakers	- 1.204	1.080	۔ 1.115	188.000	.266
	Potential rule breakers	.388	.560	.694	188.000	.489
	help	1007				
Castomer Cogmentation group	Willing but needs	.038 .694	.331	2.103	188.000	.037
Customer Segmentation group	Willing and able	.000	.381	.100	188.000	.920
	views Tend to agree	.000				
	Tend to have neutral	097	.328	295	188.000	.768
I often need help understanding official forms	Tend to disagree	210	.366	575	188.000	.566
	Tend to agree	.000				
	views	1.079		3.149		
<u> </u>	Tend to have neutral	-	.343	-	188.000	.002
I am confident using a computer	Tend to disagree	013	.280	045	188.000	.964
	Low score	.000		1.575		
	Mid score	695	.441	- 1.575	188.000	.117
Financial capability score	High score	263	.337	779	188.000	.437
	write			 = -	100 0	
	Cannot either read or	.000				
Literacy level	Can read and write	.236	.438	.538	188.000	.591
	More than 5 years	.000				
	4-5 years	135	.386	351	188.000	.726
	2-3 years	.006	.353	.017	188.000	.987
Length of tax credits receipt	1 year or less	.135	.332	.407	188.000	.684
	WTC only	.000				
	CTC only	.015	.515	.028	188.000	.977
Type of tax credits receipt	WTC & CTC	.239	.476	.502	188.000	.616
	£41,600 or more per annum	.000	•	·		
	annum	000		1.756		
	£26,000 to £41,599 per	828	.471	-	188.000	.081
	annum			-		
	£10,400 to £25,999 per	307	.422	728	188.000	.467
	£5,200 to £10,399 per annum	465	.518	899	188.000	.370
	annum					
Annual household income	Up to £5,199 per	.310	.604	.513	188.000	.608
	Income does not vary	.000	.0.10			
Main respondent income varies	Income varies	.399	.318	1.255	188.000	.211
Main respondent income varies	Not employed	.207	.444	.467	188.000	.641
Whether self employed	No	.000	.000	.155	100.000	.019
Whather solf amplexed	0-15 hrs Yes	.056	.366	.153	188.000	.879
	Couple: Both working	.000	•		•	
	over 16 hrs					
	Couple: One working	313	.636	491	188.000	.624
	Couple: Both working over 16 hrs	.008	.654	.012	188.000	.991
	Coupley Both working	000	661	012	100 000	001

	Renewed late	.000				
Can tell whether got correct change at shop	Yes, easily	679	.653	- 1.040	188.000	.300
	With difficulty or not at all	.000	•	·		·

Table B.13 Factors predicting whether recipients thought changes to renewal process were required

	Parameter	В	Std.	Hy	pothesis Te	est
			Error	t	df	Sig.
	(Intercept)	-	.728	999	188.000	.319
		.727				
Ethnic group of main respondent 2 categories	White	.147	.259	.567	188.000	.571
	Non-white	.000				
At least one adult in family with long-standing illness or disability	Yes	.175	.176	.993	188.000	.322
	No	.000	•	•	•	•
Employment status and household type	Single: Working over 16 hrs	- .056	.398	140	188.000	.889
	Single: Working 0-15	-	.378	-	188.000	.091
	hrs	.642	400	1.698		
	Couple: Both working	.123	.403	.306	188.000	.760
	over 16 hrs		.332	590	188.000	.556
	Couple: One working over 16 hrs	- .196	.332	590	100.000	.550
	Couple: Both working	.000				
	0-15 hrs	.000	•	·	•	·
Whether self employed	Yes	.012	.201	.061	188.000	.952
	No	.000				
Main respondent income varies	Not employed	.238	.252	.948	188.000	.344
	Income varies		.172	688	188.000	.492
		.118		.000	100.000	.102
	Income does not vary	.000				
Annual household income	Up to £5,199 per	-	.477	-	188.000	.050
	annum	.940		1.969		
	£5,200 to £10,399 per	-	.315	738	188.000	.461
	annum	.233				
	£10,400 to £25,999 per	-	.240	-	188.000	.109
	annum	.387		1.609		
	£26,000 to £41,599 per	- .058	.242	238	188.000	.812
	annum	.000				
	£41,600 or more per annum	.000	•	•	•	•
Type of tax credits receipt	WTC only	.669	.320	2.089	188.000	.038
Type of tax credits receipt	CTC only	.968	.318	3.043	188.000	.003
	WTC & CTC	.000	.010	0.040	100.000	.000
Longth of tax credits receipt		.000	.199		188.000	.192
Length of tax credits receipt	1 year or less	- .260	.199	- 1.309	100.000	.192
	2-3 years	-	.210	900	188.000	.369
	-	.189				
	4-5 years	.153	.144	1.065	188.000	.288
	More than 5 years	.000				
Literacy level	Can read and write	-	.226	-	188.000	.161
		.317		1.407		
	Cannot either read or	.000	·	•	•	•
Financial conshility accre	write	070	171	100	189 000	660
Financial capability score	High score	.073	.171	.428	188.000	.669
	Mid score	.180	.227	.795	188.000	.428
	Low score	.000				
I am confident using a computer	Tend to disagree	-	.190	685	188.000	.494

		400				
		.130	40.4		400.000	
	Tend to have neutral	- .192	.194	990	188.000	.323
	views	.000				
Leften need bein understanding official	Tend to agree	.000	.156	•	400.000	
I often need help understanding official	Tend to disagree	- .367	.150	- 2.351	188.000	.020
forms	Tend to have neutral		.208	2.001	188.000	.313
	views	.210	.200	1.012	100.000	.515
	Tend to agree	.000				
Customer Segmentation group	Willing and able	-	.176	073	188.000	.942
Customer Segmentation group		.013		.010	100.000	.012
	Willing but needs help	.043	.216	.199	188.000	.842
	Potential rule breakers	.351	.352	.998	188.000	.320
	Rule breakers	.278	.445	.626	188.000	.532
	Unaware	.000				
Time taken to renew tax credits	Less than 1 week	-	.196	-	188.000	.003
		.592		3.016		
	1-2 weeks	-	.189	-	188.000	.194
		.246		1.303		
	3-4 weeks	-	.210	-	188.000	.187
	4	.278		1.324		
	1 month or longer	.000	•	•	•	•
Awareness of renewal deadline	Yes		.167	941	188.000	.348
Awareness of renewar deadline	res	.157	.107	941	100.000	.340
	No	.000				
Renewed after deadline	Renewed on time	-	.267	-	188.000	.162
		.375	-	1.406		-
	Renewed late	.000				
Can tell whether got correct change at shop	Yes, easily	.001	.315	.003	188.000	.998
	With difficulty or not at	.000				
	all					

Table B.14 Factors predicting when changes of circumstances reported

	Parameter	В	pothesis Te	est		
			Error	t	df	Sig.
Did not notify HMRC of change	(Intercept)	253	.966	262	188.000	.794
Ethnic group of main respondent 2 categories	White	.028	.330	.086	188.000	.932
	Non-white	.000				
At least one adult in family with long-standing illness or disability	Yes	.247	.253	.977	188.000	.330
	No	.000				
Employment status and household type	Single: Working over 16 hrs	736	.602	- 1.224	188.000	.223
	Single: Working 0-15 hrs	804	.626	- 1.284	188.000	.201
	Couple: Both working over 16 hrs	216	.615	352	188.000	.726
	Couple: One working over 16 hrs	044	.543	081	188.000	.935
	Couple: Both working 0-15 hrs	.000				
Whether self employed	Yes	.120	.275	.438	188.000	.662
	No	.000				
Main respondent income varies	Not employed	730	.320	- 2.280	188.000	.024
	Income varies	.227	.224	1.014	188.000	.312
	Income does not vary	.000				

Annual household income	Up to £5,199 per	395	.556	710	188.000	.479
	annum £5,200 to £10,399 per annum	841	.377	- 2.232	188.000	.027
	£10,400 to £25,999	-	.260		188.000	.000
	per annum	1.008		3.877		
	£26,000 to £41,599	693	.265	-	188.000	.010
	per annum			2.613		
	£41,600 or more per	.000				
	annum					
Type of tax credits receipt	WTC only	007	.338	021	188.000	.983
	CTC only	.211	.373	.564	188.000	.573
	WTC & CTC	.000	-	•		•
Length of tax credits receipt	1 year or less	151	.215	701	188.000	.484
·	2-3 years	.341	.243	1.399	188.000	.163
	4-5 years	.031	.232	.134	188.000	.893
	More than 5 years	.000				
Literacy level	Can read and write	.411	.335	1.226	188.000	.222
··· / · ···	Cannot either read or	.000				-
	write					
Financial capability score	High score	495	.224	-	188.000	.029
	Mid score	344	.276	2.205	188.000	.214
		344	.270	- 1.248	100.000	.214
	Low score	.000				
I am confident using a computer	Tend to disagree	540	.263	-	188.000	.041
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			2.053		
	Tend to have neutral views	655	.277	- 2.367	188.000	.019
	Tend to agree	.000				
I often need help understanding official forms	Tend to disagree	161	.230	700	188.000	.485
	Tend to have neutral	183	.282	652	188.000	.515
	views					
	Tend to agree	.000				
Customer Segmentation group	Willing and able	.028	.246	.113	188.000	.910
	Willing but needs help	099	.261	380	188.000	.704
	Potential rule breakers	913	.557	-	188.000	.103
				1.641		
	Rule breakers	.938	.535	1.754	188.000	.081
	Unaware	.000				
Notified of change but not at renewals	(Intercept)	-	.678	-	188.000	.070
		1.238	040	1.825	400.000	040
Ethnic group of main respondent 2 categories	White	.514	.218	2.359	188.000	.019
	Non white	000				
At least one adult in family with lang standing	Non-white	.000	170			710
At least one adult in family with long-standing	Yes	065	.176	368	188.000	.713
illness or disability	No	.000				
Employment status and household type	Single: Working over	.000 742	.395	•	188.000	.062
Employment status and household type	16 hrs	/42	.595	1.879	100.000	.002
	Single: Working 0-15	349	.347	-	188.000	.316
	hrs	.010	.017	1.006	100.000	.010
	Couple: Both working	856	.394	-	188.000	.031
	over 16 hrs			2.171		
	Couple: One working	717	.358	-	188.000	.046
	over 16 hrs			2.007		
	Couple: Both working	.000				
	0-15 hrs					
Whether self employed	Yes	.037	.204	.179	188.000	.858
	No	.000				
Main respondent income varies	Not employed	261	.244	-	188.000	.286
		-		1.069		

	Income varies	.025	.169	.150	188.000	.881
	Income does not vary	.000			•	
Annual household income	Up to £5,199 per	.186	.372	.500	188.000	.617
	annum					
	£5,200 to £10,399 per	058	.290	198	188.000	.843
	annum 610,400 to 625,000 por	.222	.226	.983	188.000	.327
	£10,400 to £25,999 per annum	.222	.220	.903	100.000	.327
	£26,000 to £41,599 per	.293	.229	1.281	188.000	.202
	annum	.200	0		100.000	0_
Type of tax credits receipt	£41,600 or more per	.000				
	annum					
	WTC only	.971	.274	3.549	188.000	.000
	CTC only	.598	.318	1.881	188.000	.061
	WTC & CTC	.000				
Length of tax credits receipt	1 year or less	.204	.164	1.245	188.000	.215
5	2-3 years	.349	.197	1.774	188.000	.078
	4-5 years	.253	.154	1.639	188.000	.103
	More than 5 years	.000				
Literacy level	Can read and write	.235	.184	1.278	188.000	.203
	Cannot either read or	.000				
	write		-		-	-
Financial capability score	High score	213	.164	-	188.000	8.000 .065 8.000 .041 8.000 .492
	-			1.298		
	Mid score	345	.186	-	188.000	.065
		000		1.856		
I am confident using a computer			18/	•	188.000	. 041
I am confident using a computer	Mid score 345 .186 - 188.000 .065 Low score .000 Tend to disagree 380 .184 - 188.000 .041 Z.060 Tend to have neutral views 115 .168 689 188.000 .492 Tend to agree .000 orms Tend to disagree .090 .165 .544 188.000 .587 Tend to have neutral views .222 .176 1.260 188.000 .209 Tend to have neutral views .222 .076 1.260 188.000 .209					
	Tend to have neutral	115	.168		188.000	.492
	views					
	Tend to agree	.000				
I often need help understanding official forms	Tend to disagree	.090	.165	.544	188.000	.587
	Tend to have neutral	.222	.176	1.260	188.000	.209
	views					
	Tend to agree	.000				
Customer Segmentation group	Willing and able	101	.154	657	188.000	.512
	Willing but needs help	206	.198	-	188.000	.301
	Detential sula has also as	074	224	1.038	400.000	250
	Potential rule breakers	371	.321	- 1.154	188.000	.250
	Rule breakers	090	.446	201	188.000	.841
	Unaware	.000				
Notified HMRC of change at renewals	(Intercept)	658	.718	916	188.000	.361
Ethnic group of main respondent 2 categories	White	.099	.209	.475		
Etimic group of main respondent 2 categories	Non-white	.000	.200	.470	100.000	.000
At least one adult in family with long-standing	Yes	174	194	894	188.000	372
illness or disability	165	174	.134	034	100.000	.572
	No	.000				
Employment status and household type	Single: Working over	613	.461	-	188.000	.185
	16 hrs			1.330		
	Single: Working 0-15	775	.420	-	188.000	.066
	hrs			1.846		
	Couple: Both working	289	.459	628	188.000	.531
	over 16 hrs					
	Couple: One working	039	.384	102	188.000	.919
	over 16 hrs					
	Couple: Both working	.000	•	•	•	·
Whether colf omployed	0-15 hrs	4.40	400	707	100 000	460
Whether self employed	Yes	142	.193	737	188.000	.462
	No Not employed	.000		700		474
Main respondent income varies	Not employed	189	.262	722	188.000	.471

	Income varies	.001	.165	.004	188.000	.996
	Income does not vary	.000				
Annual household income	Up to £5,199 per annum	171	.381	449	188.000	.654
	£5,200 to £10,399 per	279	.280	995	188.000	.321
	annum					
	£10,400 to £25,999 per annum	115	.231	499	188.000	.618
	£26,000 to £41,599 per	371	.235	-	188.000	.116
	annum			1.581		
	£41,600 or more per annum	.000	·	•		
Type of tax credits receipt	WTC only	.710	.239	2.975	188.000	.003
	CTC only	.503	.272	1.851	188.000	.066
	WTC & CTC	.000				
Length of tax credits receipt	1 year or less	093	.153	605	188.000	.546
	2-3 years	.295	.170	1.737	188.000	.084
	4-5 years	.097	.149	.652	188.000	.515
	More than 5 years	.000				
Literacy level	Can read and write	.227	.239	.952	188.000	.342
	Cannot either read or write	.000				-
Financial capability score	High score	.205	.171	1.197	188.000	.233
	Mid score	.187	.204	.916	188.000	.361
	Low score	.000				
I am confident using a computer	Tend to disagree	106	.168	632	188.000	.528
	Tend to have neutral views	259	.172	- 1.509	188.000	.133
	Tend to agree	.000				
I often need help understanding official forms	Tend to disagree	.085	.157	.541	188.000	.589
	Tend to have neutral views	.111	.162	.689	188.000	.492
	Tend to agree	.000				
Customer Segmentation group	Willing and able	259	.151	- 1.720	188.000	.087
	Willing but needs help	314	.187	۔ 1.682	188.000	.094
	Potential rule breakers	656	.324	- 2.022	188.000	.045
	Rule breakers	.446	.449	.994	188.000	.322
	Unaware	.000		· ·	•	·